arXiv:1702.04644v1 [math.NT] 15 Feb 2017

NONABELIAN COHEN-LENSTRA MOMENTS

MELANIE MATCHETT WOOD

Abstract. In this paper we give a conjecture for the average number of unramified G-extensions of a quadratic field for any finite group G. The Cohen-Lenstra heuristics are the specialization of our conjecture to the case that G is abelian of odd order. We prove a theorem towards the function field analog of our conjecture, and give additional motivations for the conjecture including the construction of a lifting invariant for the unramified G-extensions that takes the same number of values as the predicted average and an argument using the Malle-Bhargava principle. We note that for even $|G|$, corrections for the roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}$ are required, which can not be seen when G is abelian.

1. Introduction

In 1984, Cohen and Lenstra [CL84] gave heuristics for the distribution of class groups of quadratic fields. By class field theory, the class group is the Galois group of the maximal unramified abelian extension, and much of the work on the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics has been through the lens of unramified abelian extensions. It is then natural to ask about the nonabelian version, that is, the Galois group of the maximal unramified extension. Given a quadratic field K, a Galois G extension L of K has Galois closure $\tilde{L}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group some subgroup G' of $G \wr S_2$. The following question, asked by Bhargava at the 2011 AIM Workshop on the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics and in [Bha14, Section 1.2], is a rather comprehensive question about the distribution of these Galois groups. Given a finite group G and a subgroup $G' \subset G \wr S_2$, for a random imaginary (resp. real) quadratic field K (ordered by discriminant), what is the expected value $E^-(G, G')$ (resp. $E^+(G, G')$) of the number of unramified Galois G-extensions L/K such that $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\mathbb{Q})$ is G'? In this paper, we give a conjecture for the answer, prove a theorem towards the function field analog, and give other motivations for our conjecture.

We say that G' is an *admissible* subgroup of $G \wr S_2$ if G' contains $(1, \sigma)$ (where σ generates S_2), G' is generated by order 2 elements with non-trivial image in S_2, and the kernel of $\pi : G' \to S_2$ surjects onto the first factor of G. We call G' *good* if it has a unique conjugacy class c of order two elements not in $\ker \pi$. See Section 7.1 for some examples of admissible and good G'. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ acts naturally on $G \wr S_2$ and we write $\mathrm{Aut}_{G'}(G)$ for the subgroup that fixes the subgroup G' of $G \wr S_2$ setwise.

Conjecture 1.1. *Given a finite group G, and an admissible subgroup $G' \subset G \wr S_2$, if G' is good then*

$$E^-(G, G') = \frac{|H_2(G', c)[2]|}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{G'}(G)|} \quad \text{and} \quad E^+(G, G') = \frac{|H_2(G', c)[2]|}{|c||\operatorname{Aut}_{G'}(G)|}$$

and if G' is not good then

$$E^{\pm}(G, G') = \infty.$$

See Section 2.3 for the definition of the *reduced Schur multiplier* $H_2(G', c)$, which is a quotient of the group homology (Schur multiplier) $H_2(G', \mathbb{Z})$. The "[2]" denotes the 2-torsion. See Section 7.2 for a chart of some values of $H_2(G', c)$, and note $|H_2(G', c)[2]|$ is often 1. In this paper, we will see that the factor $|H_2(G', c)[2]|$ appears as a "correction" for the roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}$. See Section 2.1 for a precise definition of $E^{\pm}(G, G')$, and in particular how we make $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\mathbb{Q})$ a subgroup of $G \wr S_2$.

If G' is not admissible, then there are no unramified G-extensions L/K with $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\mathbb{Q})$ giving G' (Proposition 2.2) for any K, and so $E^{\pm}(G, G') = 0$.

If $|G|$ is odd, then G' is always good (by the Sylow theorems or by Schur-Zassenhaus) and $|H_2(G', c)[2]| = 1$ (see [EVW12, Example 9.3.2]). Thus, in the imaginary quadratic case when $|G|$ is odd, this conjecture reduces to one of Boston and the author [BW16, Conjecture 1.6]. (To compare, note that $\tilde{E}^{-}(G, G')$ below in Equation (1) is the same as the count of surjections in [BW16, Conjecture 1.6] to $\ker(G' \to S_2)$.) If G is abelian, then there is only one admissible $G' = G \rtimes_{-1} S_2$, and $\mathrm{Aut}_{G'}(G) = \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, and $|c| = |G|$, and so $|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|E^{\pm}(G, G')$ are the usual G-moments of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics, that is the average number of surjections from the class group of K to G. When G is abelian and of odd order, the prediction of Conjecture 1.1 agrees with that of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics (see Section 1.1 for further discussion on previous work on these moments).

In this paper, we give three main motivations for Conjecture 1.1. First, we prove a theorem in the direction of the function field analog of this result. We define $E^{\pm}_{\mathbb{F}_q(t), q^{2n}}(G, G')$ as the analog of $E^{\pm}(G, G')$ in which $\mathbb{Q}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ (for a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$) and we only consider K with $\operatorname{Nm}\operatorname{Disc} K = q^{2n}$ (see Section 2.1).

Theorem 1.2. *Given a finite group G and an admissible subgroup $G' \subset G \wr S_2$ (where G' has trivial center), and c the set of order 2 elements of $G' \setminus \ker(G' \to S_2)$, for n sufficiently large we have*

$$\lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ (q, |G'|)=1}} \frac{E^{\pm}_{\mathbb{F}_q(t), q^{2n}}(G, G')}{|H_2(G', c)[|\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}|]|} \begin{cases} = \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{G'}(G)|} & \text{if } G' \text{ good, } - \text{ case,} \\ = \frac{1}{|c||\operatorname{Aut}_{G'}(G)|} & \text{if } G' \text{ good, } + \text{ case, and} \\ \gg n^{N_{G'}-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}$ is the group of roots of unity of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, so $|\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}| = q - 1$, and $N_{G'}$ is the number of conjugacy classes of order 2 elements of G' not in $\ker(G' \to S_2)$.

We prove this theorem in Section 3. The method of proof is by counting $\mathbb{F}_q$ points on moduli spaces for the relevant extensions, an idea going back to unpublished work of J.-K. Yu and built upon by Achter [Ach06]. The recent breakthroughs of Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [EVW16, EVW12] have made this method much more powerful. In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we use the construction of the relevant moduli schemes from [EVW12], which builds on the work of Romagny and Wewers [RW06], and the description of the components of those schemes from [EVW12]. The condition in Theorem 1.2 that G' has a trivial center comes from a technical condition in [EVW12, Section 8.6.2] required to ensure that the Hurwitz space parametrizing G' covers of the line is an actual scheme and not just a stack.

The moduli spaces used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 parametrize *rigidified* extensions that come with a chosen isomorphism of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/\mathbb{F}_q(t))$ with G'. We let $\tilde{E}^{\pm}(G, G')$ count these rigidified extensions (see Section 2.1 for a precise definition), and when G' is good

$$\tilde{E}^{-}(G, G') = |\operatorname{Aut}_{G'}(G)|E(G, G') \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{E}^{+}(G, G') = |c||\operatorname{Aut}_{G'}(G)|E(G, G'). \tag{1}$$

When $q \to \infty$ for fixed n, if we have a bound on the cohomology of the moduli spaces that is independent of q, then $\tilde{E}^{\pm}_{\mathbb{F}_q(t),q^{2n}}(G,G')$ will go to the number of components of the moduli space. By default, we might guess there is 1 component, but in this case there are $|H_2(G',c)[|\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}|]|$ components. This makes it apparent that the $|H_2(G',c)[2]|$ appearing in Conjecture 1.1 is a "correction factor" for roots of unity in the base field (i.e. the 2 roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}$). Even in the usual Cohen-Lenstra-Martinet heuristics for quadratic extensions, which are about the case of abelian G of odd order above, it is known (see [Mal08, Gar15, AM15]) that corrections are needed for roots of unity appearing in the base field. When G is abelian and of even order, the only admissible G' cannot be good (see Lemma 5.1). So, these corrections for the roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}$ never appeared for abelian G. However, the theorem above in the non-abelian case now makes clear that even the roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}$ need to be corrected for in these heuristics.

The limits in Theorem 1.2 are not the same as the limits in the direct function field analog of Conjecture 1.1. In particular, in the analog of Conjecture 1.1 there should be a fixed q and a limit in n. In Theorem 1.2, we have a fixed n and a limit in q. This is not a minor difference. However, we still find Theorem 1.2 to be a strong suggestion for Conjecture 1.1 (and its function field analog). When G is abelian and of odd order, then Theorem 1.2 is roughly the same as a result of Achter [Ach06]. Also, when $|G|$ is odd, Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [EVW16] (for abelian G, in the imaginary quadratic case), the author [Woo16b] (for abelian G, in the real quadratic case) and Boston and the author [BW16] (for any G, in the imaginary quadratic case) prove a result like Theorem 1.2, but with a limit in n, before a limit in q, making it closer to the analog of Conjecture 1.1.

The second main motivation for Conjecture 1.1 is based on the components that give the answer in the above theorem. The components in the moduli space divide the unramified rigidified G extensions of type G' of a quadratic extension $K/\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ into $|H_2(G',c)[|\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}|]|$ natural classes. Over $\mathbb{Q}$, there is no known analog of the moduli space to break into components. However, as a motivation for Conjecture 1.1, in Section 4 we do show that for any global field F, there is an invariant that divides the unramified rigidified G extensions of type G' of a quadratic extension K/F into $|H_2(G',c)[|\mu_F|]|$ classes, via a construction that we then prove agrees over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with the division into components. Venkatesh and Ellenberg [VE10, Section 2.5] previously defined a lifting invariant of extensions, and ours is motivated by theirs, but we cannot apply their invariant here because it requires the order of the elements of c to be relatively prime to $|H_2(G',c)|$. Further, their invariant lies in $H_2(G',c)$, but we prove that ours actually lies in $H_2(G',c)[|\mu_F|]$, motivating the precise value appearing in Conjecture 1.1. Venkatesh and Ellenberg originally had the idea that these Schur multipliers should provide some kind of correction to asymptotic constants [VE10, Section 2.4].

The final motivation is given in Section 5 and is based on the Malle-Bhargava principle for counting number fields. We explain how this principle for producing conjectures about the asymptotic count of number fields agrees with the division in Conjecture 1.1 into the finite and infinite cases. We discuss at some length the applicability of these principles here, since they have known counterexamples.

1.1. **Cases of Conjecture 1.1 known.** Since Conjecture 1.1 specializes to the Cohen-Lenstra moments when G is abelian, it is of course known in very few cases. When $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ it is known by the theorem of Davenport and Heilbronn [DH71], and in this case for $\mathbb{Q}$ replaced by a global field by Datskovsky and Wright [DW88]. When (G,G') is (A_4,S_4), (A_5,S_5),

or $(S_n, S_n \times C_2)$ for $n = 3, 4, 5$, Conjecture 1.1 is known by work of Bhargava [Bha14, Theorem 1.4]. In the first two of these cases G' is good, and in the last three G' is not good. When G is the quaternion group of order 8, there is one admissible G' of order 16 (SmallGroup(16,13) in the Small Groups Library [BEO16]), which is not good, and when G is the dihedral group of order 8, the only admissible G' of order 16 is $D_8 \times C_2$, which is also not good. Conjecture 1.1 is known for these two (G, G') by Alberts [Alb16, Corollary 4.3]. In Section 6, we prove that $E^{\pm}(C_2^k, C_2^{k+1}) = \infty$, as predicted by Conjecture 1.1.

1.2. **Moments determining the distribution.** If we combine appropriately the extensions counted by $E^{\pm}(G, G')$ over all admissible G', we would call the result the G-moment of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{un}/K)$ of the maximal unramified extension K^{un}. When G is abelian, these quantities have a close connection to moments (in the usual sense) of the group invariants (see [CKL$^+$15, Section 3.3]). We use the same language when G is non-abelian by analogy.

If $\mathcal{G}$ is a set of isomorphism classes of profinite groups, and X is a random group from $\mathcal{G}$, then for several $\mathcal{G}$, the group-indexed moments (that arise in Cohen-Lenstra type conjectures) $\mathbb{E}(\#\operatorname{Sur}(X, G))$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$ determine a unique probability distribution for X, even though they grow too fast when translated to usual moments to use standard probabilistic methods (see [Woo14, Section 1.5]). Such cases include $\mathcal{G}$ elementary abelian p-groups due to Fouvry and Klüners [FK06, Theorems 1 and 2], abelian p-groups due to Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [EVW16, Lemma 8.1], abelian groups whose order is only divisible by a given finite set of primes by the author [Woo14, Theorem 8.3], and pro-p-groups due to Boston and the author [BW16, Theorem 1.4].

In the generality of Conjecture 1.1, it is an interesting open question whether the moments determine a distribution in an appropriate sense. It is even an interesting open question to construct a measure on profinite groups that gives the moments appearing in Conjecture 1.1.

1.3. **Outline of the paper.** In Section 2.1, we give a careful definition of the $E^{\pm}(G, G')$ and $\tilde{E}^{\pm}(G, G')$, and go over other foundational definitions. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we give the definition of the lifting invariant that divides unramified G extensions of type G' into $H_2(G', c)[|\mu_F|]$ classes. In Section 5, we explain how the Malle-Bhargava principle suggests the division in Conjecture 1.1 into finite and infinite cases. In Section 6, we prove that $E^{\pm}(C_2^k, C_2^{k+1}) = \infty$, to give another example where Conjecture 1.1 holds.

1.4. **Notation.** If A is a group and B is a permutation group on d elements, then $A \wr B$ denotes the wreath product, that is $A^d \rtimes B$, where B acts on A^d by permuting the factors through its permutation action. We write S_n for the symmetric group on n elements. We use $\operatorname{Sur}(G, H)$ to denote the surjective homomorphisms from G to H, which are required to be continuous when either is a profinite group. For a field K, we write $\bar{K}$ for its separable closure. For a global field K and place v, we write K_v for the completion of K at v.

2. Definitions and basic background

2.1. **Definition of $E^{\pm}(G, G')$ and $\tilde{E}^{\pm}(G, G')$.** In this section we will precisely define the $E^{\pm}(G, G')$ used in Conjecture 1.1. Let Q be a global field and ∞ be a place (not necessarily infinite). Our main cases of interest will be $Q = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ and ∞ the usual infinite place. We fix a separable closure $\bar{Q}$ of Q, and an inertia subgroup for ∞ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Q}/Q)$. We call K an *imaginary (resp. real) quadratic* extension of Q if K/Q is a separable degree 2 extension

in which ∞ is ramified (resp. split). If $K \subset \bar{Q}$ is a quadratic extension, we define $K^{\mathrm{un},\infty} \subset \bar{Q}$ to be the maximal unramified extension of K, split completely over ∞. (Of course if ∞ is an archimedian place, unramified is the same as split completely.) Let $G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty} := \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}/K)$. Given an $L \subset K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}$ an extension of K, we have $\tilde{L} \subset \bar{Q}$, the Galois closure of L over Q.

2.1.1. *Imaginary quadratic.* If K/Q is imaginary quadratic, note the inertia subgroup over ∞ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q)$ is non-trivial, but has trivial intersection with the index two subgroup $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$. Thus the inertia subgroup is order 2 and we let $y \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q)$ be its non-trivial element. We then will define

$$\phi : \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \to (\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)) \rtimes_\sigma S_2 =: \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \wr S_2, \tag{2}$$

where we write σ for the generator of the cyclic group S_2 of order 2 as well as the automorphism of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ that switches the factors. We let

$$\phi : \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K) \to \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \times \mathrm{Gal}(L/K),$$

where the first projection is the usual projection, and the second is conjugation by y in $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q)$ followed by the usual projection. We note that ϕ on $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ is injective and $\phi(\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K))$ surjects onto the first factor. Finally, we extend ϕ to $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \to \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \wr S_2$, by sending y to $(1, \sigma)$, and we easily check this gives a homomorphism. We note that ϕ is injective on $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q)$, and its image surjects on S_2.

We see above that a surjection in $\mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G)$, i.e. an extension $L \subset K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}$ of K and an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} G$, gives an injection $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \to (G \times G) \rtimes_\sigma S_2 =: G \wr S_2$. For a subgroup $G' \subset G \wr S_2$, we say a surjection $G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty} \to G$ is *type* G' if G' is the image of the associated $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \to G \wr S_2$.

Given Q, ∞, we let IQ_X be the set of imaginary quadratic $K \subset \bar{Q}$ of $\mathrm{Nm}\,\mathrm{Disc}(K/Q) \leq X$. We define

$$\tilde{E}^-_{Q,\infty}(G, G') = \lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{K \in IQ_X} \#\{\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G) | \rho \text{ is type } G'\}}{\sum_{K \in IQ_X} 1}.$$

Let $IQ_{=X}$ be the set of imaginary quadratic $K \subset \bar{Q}$ of $\mathrm{Nm}\,\mathrm{Disc}(K/Q) = X$. We define

$$\tilde{E}^-_{Q,\infty,X}(G, G') = \frac{\sum_{K \in IQ_{=X}} \#\{\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G) | \rho \text{ is type } G'\}}{\sum_{K \in IQ_{=X}} 1}.$$

(The quantity $\tilde{E}^-_{Q,\infty,X}(G, G')$ is mainly of interest in the function field case, and in that case it is elementary to show that if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{E}^-_{Q,\infty,q^{2n}}(G, G')$ exists then $\tilde{E}^-_{Q,\infty}(G, G')$ exists and has the same value.) If we omit the ∞ subscript, we mean the usual infinite place, and if we omit the Q subscript we mean $Q = \mathbb{Q}$.

In this paper, it is cleaner to include the data of an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ and G, but of course one could also say that gives an overcounting of the true object of interest L. Note that $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ acts naturally on $G \wr S_2$, and write $\mathrm{Aut}_{G'}(G)$ for the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ that fixes (setwise) the subgroup $G' \subset G \wr S_2$. Then, we see a field L is counted $\mathrm{Aut}_{G'}(G)$ times in $\tilde{E}^-(G, G')$. If G is index 2 in G', then σ gives an automorphism of G and the elements $\mathrm{Aut}_{G'}(G)$ are equivalently the automorphisms of G that commute with σ (as

an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$). So we have

$$E^-(G,G') = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}_{G'}(G)|}\tilde{E}^-(G,G').$$

2.1.2. *Real quadratic.* When K/Q is real quadratic, there does not appear to be a natural map ϕ as in Equation (2) because there is no clear way to choose y. However, note that order 2 elements of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \setminus \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ are the only possible images for inertia subgroups at any prime (since $\tilde{L}/K$ is unramified). Of the real quadratic K/Q, at most finitely many are unramified, and for the rest of the K, there are order 2 elements of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \setminus \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$. As in the last section, any such choice y of an order 2 element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \setminus \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ gives a $\phi : \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \wr S_2$.

Then a surjection $\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G)$, i.e. an extension $L \subset K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}$ of K and an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} G$, *and* an order 2 element y of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \setminus \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ (which we call a *twist* for ρ), gives an injection of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \to (G \times G) \rtimes_\sigma S_2 =: G \wr S_2$. For a subgroup $G' \subset G \wr S_2$, we say (ρ, y) is *type* G' if G' is the image of the associated $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \to G \wr S_2$.

Given Q, ∞, we let RQ_X (resp. $RQ_{=X}$) be the set of real quadratic $K \subset \bar{Q}$ of $\mathrm{Nm}\,\mathrm{Disc}(K/Q) \leq X$ (resp. $\mathrm{Nm}\,\mathrm{Disc}(K/Q) = X$). We define

$$\tilde{E}^+_{Q,\infty}(G,G') = \lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{K\in RQ_X} \#\{(\rho,y) | \rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G), y \text{ twist for } \rho, (\rho,y) \text{ is type } G'\}}{\sum_{K\in RQ_X} 1}.$$

and

$$\tilde{E}^+_{Q,\infty,X}(G,G') = \frac{\sum_{K\in RQ_{=X}} \#\{(\rho,y) | \rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G), y \text{ twist for } \rho, (\rho,y) \text{ is type } G'\}}{\sum_{K\in RQ_{=X}} 1}.$$

If we omit the ∞ subscript, we mean the usual infinite place, and if we omit the Q subscript we mean $Q = \mathbb{Q}$.

Again, given G and G', there is a group theoretic factor $A(G,G')$ that tells us how many different choices (ρ, y) there are for a single a field L that give type G'. We have

$$E^+(G,G') = \frac{1}{A(G,G')}\tilde{E}^+(G,G').$$

We can write $A(G,G')$ more simply when G' is good.

Lemma 2.1. *If G' is good for a finite group G, then*

$$A(G,G') = |c||\,\mathrm{Aut}_{G'}(G)|.$$

Proof. Let K/Q be a quadratic extension, and L/K with $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \simeq G$ with twist y. Suppose that (ρ, y) is type G'.

Now let z be an order 2 element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \setminus \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$. Since G' is good, we have that y and z are conjugate in $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q)$. Further, we can assume $y = z^k$ for some $k \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ since $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K) \cup y\,\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$. If we define $\phi : \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \to G$ so that $\phi(w) = \rho(k)^{-1}\rho(w)\rho(k)$, then in the map $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \to G'$ given by (ϕ, z), we have that

for $x \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \mapsto &(\phi(x), \phi(x^z)) = (\phi(x), \phi(z^{-1}xz)) = (\phi(x), \phi(ky^{-1}k^{-1}xkyk^{-1})) \\ =&(\phi(k)\phi(k^{-1}xk)\phi(k)^{-1}, \phi(k)\phi((k^{-1}xk)^y)\phi(k^{-1})) \\ =&(\rho(k^{-1}xk), \rho((k^{-1}xk)^y)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we see the map $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \to G'$ given by (ϕ, z) has the same image, G', as the map given by (ρ, y).

Now if (ρ, y) is type G', then $(\psi \circ \rho, y)$ is type G' for any $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ that fixes G'. So we have $|c|$ choices for the twist, and for each twist, $\mathrm{Aut}_{G'}(G)$ choices for the map $\mathrm{Gal}(L/L) \simeq G$ to get type G'.

□

So when G' is good

$$E^+(G, G') = \frac{1}{|c||\operatorname{Aut}_{G'}(G)|}\tilde{E}^+(G, G').$$

2.2. **Requirement of admissibility.** Now we will see why when $Q = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, the only possible types are the admissible G'.

Proposition 2.2. *Let Q be a global field with a place ∞ and let G be a finite group. If $G' \subset G \wr S_2$ is not admissible and K/Q is a quadratic extension with $\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G)$ such that ρ (or (ρ, y)) is type G', then the associated $\tilde{L}$ contains a non-trivial extension of Q that is unramified everywhere and split completely at ∞.*

Proof. By the definition of type, if ρ (or (ρ, y)) is type G', then G' contains $(1, \sigma)$ and the kernel of $\pi : G' \to S_2$ surjects onto the first factor of G. Since $\tilde{L}/K$ is unramified everywhere and split completely at ∞, the only possible non-trivial images for inertia groups, or for the decomposition group at ∞, are order 2 elements of $G' \setminus \ker \pi$. If these elements do not generate all of G', they generate a normal subgroup H of G', which by Galois theory corresponds to an extension of Q that is unramified everywhere and split completely at ∞. □

2.3. **Reduced Schur multipler, $H_2(G', c)$.** In this section, we will define the *reduced Schur multiplier* $H_2(G', c)$ of a finite group G' for a union c of conjugacy classes of G', following Venkatesh and Ellenberg [VE10, Section 2.4]. Let C be a Schur cover of G' so we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to H_2(G', \mathbb{Z}) \to C \to G' \to 1$$

by the Schur covering map. For $x, y \in G'$ that commute, let $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ be arbitrary lifts to C, and let $\langle x, y\rangle$ be the commutator $[\hat{x}, \hat{y}] \in C$, which actually lies in $H_2(G', \mathbb{Z})$ since x and y commute. It we take the quotient of the above exact sequence by all $\langle x, y\rangle$ for $x \in c$ and y commuting with x, we obtain an exact sequence

$$1 \to H_2(G', c) \to \widetilde{G'}_c \to G' \to 1, \tag{3}$$

which is still a central extension, defining $H_2(G', c)$ and $\widetilde{G'}_c$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Each surjection counted in the numerator of $E^{\pm}_{\mathbb{F}_q(t),q^{2n}}(G,G')$ has an associated extension $\tilde{L}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)$. These field extensions correspond to covers of the projective line, and Hurwitz spaces provide a moduli space for such covers. By the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula, if we can control the dimensions of the étale cohomology uniformly in q, then the question of the q limit in Theorem 1.2 is reduced to determining the number of components in the relevant moduli space.

Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [EVW12], building on the work of Romagny and Wewers [RW06], have constructed the Hurwitz schemes necessary for our application. Moreover, Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [EVW12] have proven a theorem relating their number of components to group theoretical quantities.

To prove Theorem 1.2, we first prove a result in group theory that we will combine with the result on components from [EVW12] to give us a count of components of the relevant Hurwitz scheme. Then, we translate between the surjections counted by $E^{\pm}_{\mathbb{F}_q(t),q^{2n}}(G,G')$ and the actual points on the Hurwitz schemes. Finally, we use comparison to the Hurwitz schemes over $\mathbb{C}$ to uniformly bound the cohomology and carry out the plan described above.

Note that we do not use any homological stability results, e.g. from [EVW16].

3.1. **Group theory computation.** In this section, we will prove a result in group theory. This result will count $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational components in a moduli space on which we will eventually count points. However, in this section we isolate the group theory involved. In this section, we will work with a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ (really, just a prime power q) and a finite group G' with $(q,|G'|)=1$. We use the prime because we will eventually apply these ideas to the group called G' other places in the paper.

First we will define the *universal marked central extension* $\widetilde{G'}$ of a finite group G' for a union c of conjugacy classes of G', following [EVW12, Section 7]. Let c be a set of conjugacy classes of G such that if $[g]\in c$ and d is relatively prime to the order of g, then $[g^d]\in c$. Recall the definition of $\widetilde{G'}_c$ from Section 2.3. Let $(G')^{ab}$ denote the abelianization of G'. The universal marked central extension is $\widetilde{G'}:=\widetilde{G'}_c\times_{(G')^{ab}}\mathbb{Z}^{c/G'}$, where c/G' denotes the set of conjugacy classes in c and the map $\mathbb{Z}^{c/G'}\to(G')^{ab}$ sends each standard generator to an element of the associated conjugacy class. We have a map $\widetilde{G'}\to G'$, given through projecting to the first factor. (See [EVW12, Section 7] for why this is called a universal marked central extension.)

Let $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ taken over n relatively prime to q (we follow the notation of [EVW12] instead of the more customary $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'$; this notation implicitly depends on q). We are now going to define an action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ on $\widetilde{G'}$, called the *discrete action* [EVW12, Section 8.1.7, Equation 9.4.1]. There is an action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ on G' given by powering. Then there is an inherited action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ on c and thus on c/G' by our assumption on c above, and thus an action on $\mathbb{Z}^{c/G'}$. Let c be a union of conjugacy classes c_i. For each c_i, we pick one element $g_i\in c_i$ and one lift $\hat{g}_i\in\widetilde{G'}_c$ of g_i. Next we will extend this to a map $\hat{}:c\to\widetilde{G'}_c$ such that for all $g\in c$, we have $\hat{g}$ has image g in G. We define $\widehat{gg_ig^{-1}}=\tilde{g}\hat{g}_i\tilde{g}^{-1}$ for any choice of lift $\tilde{g}\in\widetilde{G'}_c$ of g, and since $\widetilde{G'}_c\to G'$ is central, the definition does not depend on our choice

of lift. For $\alpha \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$

$$z_i(\alpha) = \hat{g_i}^{-\alpha}\widehat{g_i^\alpha}.$$

First, we note that $z_i(\alpha)$ is defined by a product in $\widetilde{G'}_c$, but actually lies in $H_2(G', c)$ since its image in G' is trivial. Second, note that if $h = gg_ig^{-1}$ for some $g \in G'$, then

$$\hat{h}^{-\alpha}\widehat{h^\alpha} = (\tilde{g}\hat{g_i}\tilde{g}^{-1})^{-\alpha}\widehat{gg_i^\alpha g^{-1}} = \tilde{g}\hat{g_i}^{-\alpha}\tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{g}\widehat{g_i^\alpha}\tilde{g}^{-1} = \tilde{g}\hat{g_i}^{-\alpha}\widehat{g_i^\alpha}\tilde{g}^{-1} = \tilde{g}z_i(\alpha)\tilde{g}^{-1} = z_i(\alpha).$$

The last equality follows because $z_i(\alpha) \in H_2(G', c)$, which is in the center of $\widetilde{G'}_c$. Now we define

$$Z_{\underline{m}}(\alpha) = \prod_i z_i(\alpha)^{m_i} \in H_2(G', c).$$

Note that since $z_i(\alpha) \in H_2(G', c)$, the order in which the product is taken does not matter.

The discrete action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ on $\widetilde{G'}$ is given by

$$\alpha * (g, \underline{m}) = (g^\alpha Z_{\underline{m}}(\alpha), \underline{m}^\alpha).$$

The following proposition gives the group theory result that will be necessary in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G' be a finite group of even order and c a union of conjugacy classes c_i of order 2 elements of G'. Let q be a power of a prime with $(q, |G'|) = 1$. Given k, for each $y \in c_k$ and $\underline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}^{c/G'}$ with n_i even for $i \neq k$ and n_k odd, there are exactly $\#H_2(G', c)[q-1]$ elements $(x, \underline{n}) \in \widetilde{G'}$ such that x has image y in G' and $q^{-1} * (x, \underline{n}) = (x, \underline{n})$. Also, for each $\underline{n}$ with n_i even for all i, there are exactly $\#H_2(G', c)[q-1]$ elements $(x, \underline{n}) \in \widetilde{G'}$ such that x has image $y = 1$ in G' and $q^{-1} * (x, \underline{n}) = (x, \underline{n})$.*

Proof. First, we note under the given hypotheses on y and $\underline{n}$, that y and $\underline{n}$ have the same image in $(G')^{ab}$ (since all the elements of c have order 2). So if $(x, \underline{n}) \in \widetilde{G'}_c \times \mathbb{Z}^{c/G}$ with x having image y in G, then $(x, \underline{n}) \in \widetilde{G'}$. Note that $q^{-1} * (x, \underline{n}) = (x, \underline{n})$ is equivalent to $q * (x, \underline{n}) = (x, \underline{n})$. Since all elements of c have order 2 and q is odd, we have

$$q * (x, \underline{n}) = (x^q \prod_i (\hat{g_i}^{-q}\widehat{g_i^q})^{n_i}, \underline{n}) = (x^q \prod_i (\hat{g_i}^{-q+1})^{n_i}, \underline{n}).$$

We let $\hat{1} = 1 \in \widetilde{G'}_c$. The preimages =of y in $\widetilde{G'}_c$ are the elements $\hat{y}k$ for $k \in H_2(G', c)$. Thus, we ask for how many elements $k \in H_2(G', c)$ is

$$(\hat{y}k)^q \prod_i (\hat{g_i}^{-q+1})^{n_i} = \hat{y}k? \tag{4}$$

Since k is central, the above is equivalent to

$$\hat{y}^{q-1} \prod_i (\hat{g_i}^{-q+1})^{n_i} = k^{1-q}.$$

Note that if $y \neq 1$, we have $\hat{y}^{q-1} = \hat{g_k}^{q-1}$, since if $y = gg_kg^{-1}$, we have

$$\hat{y}^{q-1} = (\tilde{g}\hat{g_k}\tilde{g}^{-1})^{q-1} = \tilde{g}\hat{g_k}^{q-1}\tilde{g}^{-1} = \hat{g_k}^{q-1},$$

where the last equality is since $\hat{g_k}^{q-1}$ has trivial image G' and thus is central. For $y \neq 1$, we have

$$\hat{y}^{q-1} \prod_i (\hat{g_i}^{-q+1})^{n_i} = (\hat{g_k}^{-q+1})^{n_k-1} \prod_{i \neq k} (\hat{g_i}^{-q+1})^{n_i}$$

is in $H_2(G',c)^{q-1}$, since ${\hat{g}_i}^2 \in H_2(G',c)$ for all i and by the parity of the n_i. So in Equation (4), the number of such k is $\#H_2(G,c)[q-1]$, which proves the lemma for $y \neq 1$. When $y = 1$,

$$\prod_i ({\hat{g}_i}^{-q+1})^{n_i}$$

is similarly in $H_2(G,c)^{q-1}$, and the last case of the lemma follows similarly. □

3.2. **Translation from surjections to extensions of the base field.** In this section, we translate between the surjections counted by $E^{\pm}_{\mathbb{F}_q(t),q^{2n}}(G,G')$ and the *marked* (G',c) *extensions* that the Hurwitz schemes will parametrize.

Definition 3.2. Let Q be a global field with a place ∞. Let G' be a finite group, and c a union of conjugacy classes of G'. We fix a separable closure $\bar{Q}_\infty$ of the completion Q_∞. Then, inside $\bar{Q}_\infty$ we have the separable closure $\bar{Q}$ of Q. This gives a map $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Q}_\infty/Q_\infty) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Q}/Q)$, and in particular distinguished decomposition and inertia groups in $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Q}/Q)$ at ∞. We define (as in [EVW12, Section 10.2]) a *marked* (G',c) *extension* of Q to be (M,π,m) such that M/Q is a Galois extension of fields, π is an isomorphism $\pi : \mathrm{Gal}(M/Q) \simeq G'$ such that all inertia groups in $\mathrm{Gal}(M/Q)$ (except for possibly the one at ∞) have image in $\{1\} \cup c$, and m, the *marking*, is a homomorphism $M_\infty := M \otimes_Q Q_\infty \to \bar{Q}_\infty$. Note that restriction to M gives a bijection between homomorphisms $M_\infty \to \bar{Q}_\infty$ and homomorphisms $M \to \bar{Q}$. Two marked (G',c) extensions (M_1,π_1,m_1) and (M_2,π_2,m_2) are isomorphic when there is an isomorphism $M_1 \to M_2$ taking π_1 to π_2 and m_1 to m_2. The marking m in a marked (G',c) extension (M,π,m) gives a map $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Q}_\infty/Q_\infty) \to \mathrm{Gal}(M/Q)$. Composing with π we get an *infinity type* $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Q}_\infty/Q_\infty) \to G'$.

The translation is done by the following result, which is similar to [BW16, Theorem 5.1].

Proposition 3.3. *Let Q be a global field with a choice of place ∞. Let G be a finite group and G' a subgroup of $G \wr S_2$. Let c be the order 2 elements of G' with non-trivial image in S_2.*

Let $\phi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Q}_\infty/Q_\infty) \to G'$ be a ramified homomorphism with image $\langle(1,\sigma)\rangle$. There is a bijection between

$\{(K,\rho)|$
$K \subset \bar{Q}, [K:Q] = 2, K_\infty/Q_\infty$ *the quadratic extension given by* $\ker(\phi)$, $\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G)|\rho$ *is type* $G'\}$

and

$\{$*isomorphism classes of marked* (G',c) *extensions* (L,π,m) *of* Q *with infinity type* $\phi\}$.

There is also a bijection between

$$\{(K,\rho,y)|K \subset \bar{Q}, [K:Q] = 2, K_\infty/Q_\infty \text{ split completely, } \rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G),$$
$$y \text{ a twist for } \rho, (\rho,y) \text{ of type } G'\}$$

and

$\{$*isomorphism classes of marked* (G',c) *extensions* (M,π,m) *of* Q *with trivial infinity type*$\}$.

In these bijections, we have $\mathrm{Disc}(M) = \mathrm{Disc}(K)^{|G'|/2}$.

Proof. Note that in each isomorphism class of marked (G', c) extensions of Q, there is a distinguished element such that $M \subset \bar{Q}$ and $m|_M$ is the inclusion map.

In either case, we have $L \subset \bar{Q}$ corresponding to $\ker(\rho)$ and $\tilde{L}$ the Galois closure of L over Q. By the definition of type, we have $\pi : \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q) \simeq G'$. Then $\tilde{L}$ is a marked G' extension of Q, where $\tilde{L} \subset \bar{Q}$ and the marking is inclusion.

Given a marked (G', c) extension (M, π, m) of Q such that $M \subset \bar{Q}$, and m is the inclusion, we obtain K as the fixed field of the kernel of the map to S_2. So then we have $\pi : \mathrm{Gal}(M/K) \to G \times G$, and we obtain ρ by projection onto the first factor. In the second case, we have $y = \pi^{-1}(1, 1, \sigma)$. It is straightforward to check that these constructions are inverses to each other, and respect the other properties in the theorem. □

3.3. **Properties of the Hurwitz scheme.** In this theorem, we recall the properties of the Hurwitz scheme constructed by Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland, building on work by Romagny and Wewers.

Theorem 3.4 (Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland)**.** [1] *Let G' be a finite group with trivial center and let c be a union of conjugacy classes c_i (for $i \geq 1$) of order 2 elements of G', and such that the elements of c generate G'. Let $c_0 = \{1\} \subset G'$. We let c/G' denote the set $\{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ of conjugacy classes of c. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q relatively prime to $|G'|$. Let $\underline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}^{c/G}$ with $n = \sum_i n_i$. There is a Hurwitz scheme $\mathsf{CHur}_{G',n}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[|G'|^{-1}]$ constructed in* [EVW12, Section 8.6.2] *with the following properties:*

1. *We have $\mathsf{CHur}_{G',n}$ is a finite étale cover of the relatively smooth n-dimensional configuration space Conf^n of n distinct unlabeled points in $\mathbb{A}^1$ over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[|G'|^{-1}]$.*
2. *The scheme $\mathsf{CHur}_{G',n} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q$ has an open and closed subscheme $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}}$ (which is over $\mathbb{F}_q$, but the q is suppressed from the notation) such that there is a bijection between*
   (a) *isomorphism classes of marked (G', c)-extensions M of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ such that for $i \geq 1$ the total degree of the non-infinite places of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with inertia in c_i is n_i and an infinity type ϕ such that $\phi(F_\Delta) = 1$ and ϕ sends a generator of tame inertia to c_k (where F_Δ is a lift of Frobenius to $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q(t)_\infty}/\mathbb{F}_q(t)_\infty)$ that acts trivially on $\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1/\infty}))$).*
   (b) *points of $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$* [EVW12, Section 10.4].
3. *Let* Frob *denote the Frobenius automorphism on $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$, as a scheme over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Given G', for all $\underline{n}$ with n_i sufficiently large for all i, the components of $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ fixed by* Frob *are in bijection with elements $(x, \underline{n}) \in \widetilde{G'}$ such that $q^{-1} * (x, n) = (x, n)$ and x has image in c_k* [EVW12, Theorem 8.7.3] *(see Section 3.1 for definitions).*

Remark 3.5. The scheme $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}}$ comes from restricting to the parametrization of covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ all of whose local inertia groups have image in $c \cup \{1\}$ and whose inertia at infinity has image in $c_k \cup \{1\}$. The argument that $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}}$ is an open and closed subscheme is as in [EVW16, Section 7.3]. Our description of the components requires a bit of translation from that in [EVW12, Theorem 8.7.3]. They biject the components with $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ equivariant functions from topological generators of $\varprojlim \mu_n$ (taken over n relatively prime to q) to the preimage of

[1] The paper [EVW12] has been temporarily withdrawn by the authors because of a gap which affects Sections 6, 12 and some theorems of the introduction of [EVW12]. That gap does not affect any of the results from [EVW12] that we use in this paper.

c_k in $\widetilde{G'}$ that are fixed by the action of Frob on $\varprojlim \mu_n$. By choosing any topological generator of $\varprojlim \mu_n$, its image under a function to $\widetilde{G'}$ gives us a corresponding element of $\widetilde{G'}$, and the action of Frob corresponds to the above discrete action of q^{-1} on $\widetilde{G'}$.

3.4. **Counting $\mathbb{F}_q$ points.** In this section, we will count points of $\mathsf{CHur}_{G',n}$ in Theorem 3.6, which, when combined with Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 will allow us to finally prove Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G' and c be as in Theorem 3.4, and $k \geq 0$. Let $c_0 = \{1\}$, but note $c_0 \not\subset c$. Let $b(\underline{n}, k, q)$ be the number of* Frob *fixed components of $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. For each positive integer n there is a constant K_n such that for $(q, |G'|) = 1$ and $\underline{n}$ with $\sum_i n_i = n$*

$$|\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G,\underline{n}}(\mathbb{F}_q) - q^n \cdot b(\underline{n}, k, q)| \leq K_n q^{n-1/2}.$$

Proof. Our theorem will follow by applying the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula to $X := \mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q$. By Theorem 3.4 (1), we have that X is smooth of dimension n. We have that $\dim H^i_{\mathrm{c,\acute{e}t}}(X_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \dim H^{2n-i}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(X_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ by Poincaré Duality.

Next, we will relate $\dim H^j_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(X_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ to $\dim H^j(\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for some $\ell > n$. To compare étale cohomology between characteristic 0 and positive characteristic, we will use [EVW16, Proposition 7.7]. The result [EVW16, Proposition 7.7] gives an isomorphism between étale cohomology between characteristic 0 and positive characteristic in the case of a finite cover of a complement of a reduced normal crossing divisor in a smooth proper scheme. Though [EVW16, Proposition 7.7] is only stated for étale cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, the argument goes through identically for coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/\ell^k\mathbb{Z}$, and then we can take the inverse limit and tensor with $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ to obtain the result of [EVW16, Proposition 7.7] with $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients replaced by $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ coefficients. So we apply this strengthened version to conclude that $\dim H^j_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}(X_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \dim H^j_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}((\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}})_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. (As in [EVW16, Proof of Proposition 7.8], we apply comparison to $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}} \times_{\mathrm{Conf}^n} \mathrm{PConf}_n$, where PConf_n is the moduli space of n labelled points on $\mathbb{A}^1$, and is the complement of a relative normal crossings divisor in a smooth proper scheme [EVW16, Lemma 7.6]. Then we take S_n invariants to compare the étale cohomology of $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}}$ across characteristics.) By the comparison of étale and analytic cohomology [AGV73, Exposé XI, Theorem 4.4] $\dim H^j(\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \dim H^j_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}((\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}})_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. Given n, let $C(n) = \max_{k,\underline{n},1\leq j<2n} \dim H^j(\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_k}_{G',\underline{n}}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, where the max is over $\underline{n}$ with $n = \sum_i n_i$.

Then by the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula we have

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{j\geq 0} (-1)^j \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}\,|_{H^j_{\mathrm{c,\acute{e}t}}(X_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)})$$

and also we know $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}\,|_{H^{2n}_{\mathrm{c,\acute{e}t}}(X_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)})$ is q^n times the number of components of X fixed by Frob. Since X is smooth, we have that the absolute value of any eigenvalue of Frob on

$H^j_{c,\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is at most $q^{j/2}$. Thus,

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) - q^n \times b(\underline{n}, k, q)| = \left| \sum_{0 \le j < 2n} (-1)^j \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Frob} |_{H^j_{c,\text{ét}}((X_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)}) \right|$$
$$\le \sum_{0 \le j < 2n} q^{j/2} C(n).$$

The theorem follows. □

Here we restate Theorem 1.2 for convenience.

Theorem 1.2. *Given a finite group G and an admissible subgroup $G' \subset G \wr S_2$ (where G' has trivial center), and c the set of order 2 elements of $G' \setminus \ker(G' \to S_2)$, for n sufficiently large we have*

$$\lim_{\substack{q \to \infty \\ (q, |G'|)=1}} \frac{\tilde{E}^{\pm}_{\mathbb{F}_q(t), q^{2n}}(G, G')}{|H_2(G', c)[|\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}|]|} \begin{cases} = 1 & \text{if } G' \text{ good} \\ \gg n^{N_{G'}-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}$ is the group of roots of unity of $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, so $|\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}| = q-1$, and $N_{G'}$ is the number of conjugacy classes of order 2 elements of G' not in $\ker \pi$.

Remark 3.7. When, as in Theorem 1.2, we take a limit as $q \to \infty$, either before or after a limit in n, we lose constants that go to 1 as $q \to \infty$, like zeta values. But when G is abelian, we already expected there are no such factors in the moments from the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics. Additionally, when G is abelian, we already from the Cohen-Lenstra-Martinet heuristics expected the moment does not depends on q for base field $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ when $(q-1, |G'|)$ is fixed (fixing the relevant roots of unity in the base field).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We let $Q = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ and $Q_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1}))$, We have that q is odd since $|G'|$ is even. Let c be the union of conjugacy classes c_i of G' of order two elements with non trivial image in S_2 and and let $(1, 1, \sigma) \in G'$ be in c_1.

We first prove the imaginary quadratic case. Unlike in the number field case, in which there is only one possible ramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R}$, here there are two ramified quadratic extensions of $Q_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1}))$. If $K/\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is a quadratic extension, we say it is imaginary quadratic of class 1 if $K_\infty \simeq \mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1/2}))$ and of class 2 if $K_\infty \simeq \mathbb{F}_q(((\alpha t)^{-1/2}))$ for an $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_q^2$. Let $IQ^1_{=q^{2n}}$ be the set of $K \subset \bar{Q}$ such that K is imaginary quadratic of class 1 and $\operatorname{Nm} \operatorname{Disc}(K) = q^{2n}$. Let $IQ^2_{=q^{2n}}$ be the set of $K \subset \bar{Q}$ such that K is imaginary quadratic of class 2 and $\operatorname{Nm} \operatorname{Disc}(K) = q^{2n}$.

We recall the definition

$$\tilde{E}^-_{\mathbb{F}_q(t), q^{2n}}(G, G') = \frac{\sum_{K \in IQ_{=q^{2n}}} \#\{\rho \in \operatorname{Sur}(G_K^{\text{un},\infty}, G) | \rho \text{ is type } G'\}}{\sum_{K \in IQ_{=q^{2n}}} 1}.$$

The quantity $\tilde{E}^-_{\mathbb{F}_q(t), q^{2n}}(G, G')$ is an average over both classes of imaginary quadratic fields, but we will prove the more refined claim that the result holds if we restrict the averages to a single class of imaginary quadratic fields.

By Theorem 3.4 (2) the points $\mathsf{CHur}^{c, c_k}_{G', \underline{n}}$ are in bijection with isomorphism classes of marked (G', c) extensions (M, π, m) of Q with certain infinity types ϕ. These infinity types are all

G'-conjugate, and there are $\#c_k$ of them. Let ϕ_0 be the infinity type such that $\phi(F_\Delta) = 1$ and $\operatorname{im}\phi = \langle(1,1,\sigma)\rangle$. Note that $\mathbb{F}_q((t^{-1/2}))$ is the imaginary quadratic extension given by $\ker(\phi_0)$.

Let $\phi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Q}_\infty/Q_\infty) \to G'$ be a ramified homomorphism with image $\langle(1,1,\sigma)\rangle$, let $g \in G'$, and let ϕ^g denote the conjugation. Then isomorphism classes of marked (G',c) extensions (M,π,m) of Q with infinity type ϕ of a given discriminant are in bijection with isomorphism classes of marked (G',c) extensions (M,π,m) of Q with infinity type ϕ^g and that discriminant by sending (M,π,m) to (M,π^g,m). So, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\#\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_1}_{G',\underline{n}}(\mathbb{F}_q)\\ &= \#c_1 \cdot \#\{\text{isom. classes of marked } (G',c)\text{-extensions } M/\mathbb{F}_q(t) \text{ of infinity type } \phi_0\\ &\text{and the total degree of non-infinite places of } \mathbb{F}_q(t) \text{ with inertia in } c_i \text{ is } n_i \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular these extensions have $\operatorname{Nm}\operatorname{Disc}(M) = q^{(\sum_i n_i+1)|G'|/2}$. Further, by Proposition 3.3, we then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{(K,\rho)|K \in IQ^1_{=q^{2n}}, \rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G)|\rho \text{ is type } G'\} &= \sum_{\substack{\underline{n}\\ \sum_i n_i = 2n-1}} \frac{\#\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_1}_{G,\underline{n}}(\mathbb{F}_q)}{\#c_1}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{\underline{n}\\ \sum_i n_i = 2n-1}} \frac{b(\underline{n},1,q)q^n + O_n(q^{n-1/2})}{\#c_1}.\end{aligned}$$

If c is a single conjugacy class, then for n sufficiently large we have $b(\underline{n},1,q) = \#c_1\#H_2(G',c)[q-1]$ by Theorem 3.4 (3) and Proposition 3.1. Thus in this case, when n is sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\sum_{K\in IQ^1_{=q^{2n}}} \#\{\rho|\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G)|\rho \text{ is type } G'\}}{\#IQ^1_{=q^{2n}}}\\ =&\frac{\#H_2(G,c)[q-1]q^{2n-1} + O_n(q^{2n-3/2})}{q^{2n-1}-q^{2n-2}} = \#H_2(G',c)[q-1] + O_n(q^{-1/2}).\end{aligned}$$

The G' good part of the theorem follows for imaginary quadratic extension of class 1.

Now consider the case when the set of conjugacy classes c/G' in c has more than 1 element. Now there is a constant $v_{G'}$ such that for n sufficiently large, there are at least $v_{G'}n^{\#c/G'-1}$ choices $\underline{n}$ with $\sum_i n_i = 2n-1$ such that all the n_i are large enough for the application of 3.4(3), and such that the n_i are even for $i > 1$ and n_1 is odd. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the set of such choices of $\underline{n}$. So for $\underline{n} \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $b(\underline{n},1,q) = \#c_1 \cdot \#H_2(G',c)[q-1]$ by Theorem 3.4 (3) and Proposition 3.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\underline{n}\\ \sum_i n_i = 2n-1}} \frac{b(\underline{n},1,q)q^n + O_n(q^{n-1/2})}{\#c_1} &\geq \sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathcal{C}} \frac{\#c_1 \cdot \#H_2(G,c)[q-1]q^n + O_n(q^{n-1/2})}{\#c_1}\\ &\geq v_{G'}n^{\#c/G'-1}\#H_2(G',c)[q-1]q^n + O_n(q^{n-1/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Here the O_n notation indicates that the implied constant may depend on n. Thus

$$\frac{\sum_{K\in IQ^1_{=q^{2n}}} \#\{\rho|\rho\in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty},G)|\rho \text{ is type } G'\}}{\#IQ^1_{=q^{2n}}} \geq \frac{v_{G'}n^{\#c/G'-1}\#H_2(G',c)[q-1]q^{2n-1}+O_n(q^{2n-3/2})}{q^{2n-1}-q^{2n-1}}.$$

Dividing both sides by $\#H_2(G',c)[q-1]$, and then letting $q\to\infty$, the second part of the theorem follows for imaginary quadratic extensions of class 1.

For the case of imaginary quadratic extension of class 2, we have a bijection $K\mapsto K\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ (where the map $\mathbb{F}_q(t)\to\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is given by $t\mapsto \alpha t$, for some $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_q\setminus\mathbb{F}_q^2$) between $IQ^1_{=q^{2n}}$ and $IQ^2_{=q^{2n}}$ that preserves $G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}$. Thus both parts of the theorem follow for imaginary quadratic extensions of class 2.

Now we consider the real quadratic case. Recall the definition

$$\tilde{E}^+_{\mathbb{F}_q(t),q^{2n}}(G,G')=\frac{\sum_{K\in RQ_{=q^{2n}}}\#\{(\rho,y)|\rho\in\mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty},G),y \text{ twist for } \rho,(\rho,y) \text{ is type } G'\}}{\sum_{K\in RQ_{=q^{2n}}}1}.$$

By Theorem 3.4 (2) the points $\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_0}_{G,\underline{n}}$ are in bijection with isomorphism classes of marked (G',c) extensions (M,π,m) of Q with trivial infinity type. So, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\#\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_0}_{G',\underline{n}}(\mathbb{F}_q)\\&=\#\{\text{isom. classes of marked } (G',c)\text{-extensions } M/\mathbb{F}_q(t) \text{ of trivial infinity type}\\&\text{and the total degree of the non-infinite places of } \mathbb{F}_q(t) \text{ with inertia in } c_i \text{ is } n_i\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular these extensions have $\mathrm{Nm}\,\mathrm{Disc}(L)=q^{\sum_i n_i|G'|/2}$. Further, by Proposition 3.3, we then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(K,\rho,y)|K\in RQ_{=q^{2n}},\rho\in\mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty},G),y \text{ twist for } \rho,(\rho,y) \text{ type } G'\}\\=&\sum_{\substack{\underline{n}\\ \sum_i n_i=2n}}\#\mathsf{CHur}^{c,c_0}_{G,\underline{n}}(\mathbb{F}_q)\\=&\sum_{\substack{\underline{n}\\ \sum_i n_i=2n}} b(\underline{n},0,q)q^{2n}+O_n(q^{2n-1/2}).\end{aligned}$$

If c is a single conjugacy class, then for n sufficiently large we have $b(\underline{n},0,q)=\#H_2(G',c)[q-1]$ by Theorem 3.4 (3) and Proposition 3.1. Thus in this case when n is sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\sum_{K\in RQ_{=q^{2n}}}\#\{(\rho,y)|\rho\in\mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty},G),\ y \text{ twist for } \rho,(\rho,y) \text{ type } G'\}}{\#RQ_{=q^{2n}}}\\=&\frac{\#H_2(G',c)[q-1]q^{2n}+O_n(q^{2n-1/2})}{q^{2n}-q^{2n-1}}\\=&\#H_2(G',c)[q-1]+O_n(q^{-1/2}),\end{aligned}$$

and this case of the theorem follows.

If c is more than one conjugacy class, the theorem follows with an analogous argument to that in the imaginary quadratic case. □

4. Lifting invariant

The value $|H_2(G', c)[|\mu_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}|]|$ appearing in Theorem 1.2 comes from the number of components of a moduli space for the objects counted by $E^{\pm}_{\mathbb{F}_q(t),q^{2n}}(G, G')$. Since in the analogy where $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ is replaced by $\mathbb{Q}$, there is no analogous moduli space with components, in this section we give a different construction of the invariant that separates components. Our construction works over any global field. This gives additional weight to using Theorem 1.2 as a motivation for Conjecture 1.1. As discussed in the introduction, our construction is strongly motivated by that of Venkatesh and Ellenberg [VE10, Section 2.5] but in order to deal with even $|G|$ (which are the main interest of this paper) we require a different argument than theirs, and we prove that our invariant actually lies in $|H_2(G', c)[|\mu_K|]|$ and not just $|H_2(G', c)|$.

4.1. **Definition of the invariant.** First we will give the definition of the invariant as briefly as possible, and then we will prove that it is well-defined, does not depend on most of our choices, and behaves as we claim.

Notation. For a field K, let μ_K be the group of roots of unity of K. Let $G_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. For a positive integer n, we let μ_n denote the nth roots of unity.

Definition 4.1. Let F be a finite group, and $\pi : F \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ a surjective homomorphism. Let c be the set of order two elements of $F \setminus \ker \pi$, and *suppose that c is a single conjugacy class of F*. Let K be a global field whose characteristic does not divide $|F|$, and let $\phi : G_K \to F$ be a continuous, tame homomorphism such that all inertia has image in $c \cup \{1\}$. Then we define an invariant of ϕ as follows. Let $L = K(\mu_{4|\tilde{F}_c|})$ and $\tilde{\phi} : G_L \to \tilde{F}_c$ be a tame lifting of ϕ (see Section 2.3 for the definition of $\tilde{F}_c$). Let $u \in \mu_L$. For each non-archimedian place v of L, let $\gamma_v \in G_L$ be an element of an inertia subgroup at v that, in the maximal tame, abelian, exponent $|\tilde{F}_c|$ quotient of G_L, has the same image as u (where u maps to this quotient via the local class field theory isomorphism at v). Let c_0 be an element of c. For $f \in \tilde{F}_c$ that maps to $c \cup \{1\}$, let $z(f)$ be the unique element in $\tilde{F}_c$ in the conjugacy class of f that maps to either 1 or c_0 in F. Then we define the invariant as a product over finite places v of L:

$$I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u) := \prod_v z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v)),$$

which we will show below is in $H_2(F, c)[|\mu_K|]$.

We will first show that the definition makes sense–that $\tilde{\phi}$ and z exist. Then, we will show that $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ does not depend on several choices we made: the order of multiplication, the choice of the γ_v, the choice of $\tilde{\phi}$, and the choice of c_0. Finally, we will show that $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u) \in H_2(F, c)[|\mu_K|]$ and that the map

$$\mu_L \to H_2(F, c)[|\mu_K|]$$
$$u \mapsto I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$$

is a homomorphism. So, given F, π, and K, we can take $I_{F,\pi,K}$ to define an invariant of continuous, tame $\phi : G_K \to F$ valued in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mu_L, H_2(F, c)[|\mu_K|])$. Clearly there are $\#H_2(F, c)[|\mu_K|]$ values for this invariant.

First we show that the tame lift $\tilde{\phi}$ exists.

Lemma 4.2. *Let F be a finite group, and c a union of conjugacy classes of order 2 elements of F. Let L be a global field such that $4 \mid |\mu_L|$ and $|\mu_L|$ annihilates $\tilde{F}_c$. Let $\phi : G_L \to F$ be a continuous, tame homomorphism such that all inertia groups have image in $c \cup \{1\}$. There is a tamely ramified lift $\tilde{\phi} : G_L \to \tilde{F}_c$ of $\phi : G_L \to F$.*

Proof. Let $A = H_2(F, c) = \ker(\tilde{F}_c \to F)$. The obstruction to lifting ϕ to $\tilde{\phi}$ lies in $H^2(G_K, \phi)$ [Ser02, I.5.7, Prop. 43], i.e. $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_L, F)$ is in the image of $\mathrm{Hom}(G_L, \tilde{F}_c)$ if and only if it maps to 0 in $H^2(G_L, A)$. The kernel of $H^2(G_L, A) \to \prod_v H^2(G_{L_v}, A)$ is dual to the kernel of $H^1(G_L, A^*) \to \prod_v H^1(G_{L_v}, A^*)$ [Mil06, Chapter I, Theorem 4.10], where $A^* := \mathrm{Hom}(A, \bar{L})$ and the products are over places v of L. Since L contains enough roots of unity to annihilate A, we have that $A \simeq A^*$ as a G_L modules. However, the map $H^1(G_L, A^*) \to \prod_v H^1(G_{L_v}, A^*)$ is clearly injective, since an element in the kernel would give an extension of L split completely everywhere.

Thus, we can detect if ϕ lifts to some $\tilde{\phi}$ by considering the obstruction in

$$\prod_v H^2(G_{L_v}, \phi).$$

So for each place v of L, we will show we can lift the map ϕ when restricted to G_{L_v}, i.e. that the local obstruction is trivial. Since ϕ is tamely ramified, we consider standard generators x, y of the tame quotient of G_{L_v} such that $xyx^{-1} = y^{\mathrm{Nm}\, v}$. Let Y be any lift in $\tilde{F}_c$ of $\phi(y)$, and $X \in \tilde{F}_c$ any lift of $\phi(x)$. At unramified places, we can choose a lift of $\phi : G_{L_v} \to F$ with $y \mapsto 1$ and $x \mapsto X \in \tilde{F}_c$.

At ramified places, since all ramification is order 2, we must have $\mathrm{Nm}\, v$ is odd since the ramification is tame. In this case, $\phi(y) \in c$ implies $\phi(y)$ has order 2 and so commutes with $\phi(x)$, and by the definition of $\tilde{F}_c$ any lift of $\phi(y)$ commutes with any lift of an element commuting with $\phi(x)$. Thus, $XYX^{-1} = Y$. Let p_v be the prime of which $\mathrm{Nm}\, v$ is a power. Let d be the maximum power of p_v dividing the order of Y. Let $Z = Y^d$. Note that $XZX^{-1} = Z$. For some non-negative integer k, we have $|\mu_L| \mid p_v^k(\mathrm{Nm}\, v - 1)$. Since $|\mu_L|$ annihilates $\tilde{F}_c$, we have $Y^{|\mu_L|} = 1$, which implies $Z^{\mathrm{Nm}\, v - 1} = 1$. Thus we can let $x \mapsto X$ and $y \mapsto Z$ to give a map from the tame quotient of G_{L_v} to $\tilde{F}_c$ lifting ϕ. This proves that there is some lift $\tilde{\phi} : G_L \to \tilde{F}_c$ of $\phi : G_L \to F$. We conclude there is some global lift of ϕ to a map $\tilde{\phi} : G_L \to \tilde{F}_c$.

When L is a function field, the hypothesis that $|\mu_L|$ annihilates $\tilde{F}_c$ implies that the characteristic of L does not divide $\tilde{F}_c$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ must then be tamely ramified. When L is a number field, we know at each place v of L, there is a tamely ramified local lift ψ_v of $\phi_v : G_{L_v} \to F$ to $\tilde{F}_c$ by the construction above. Note that $\tilde{\phi}^{-1} \cdot \psi_v$ gives a map from G_{L_v} to A. Since $\mu_4 \subset L$, by the Grunwald-Wang Theorem [AT68, Ch 10. Thm. 5], there is a map $\pi : G_L \to A$ which agrees with $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\psi_v$ on G_{L_v} for each of the finitely many places v of $\tilde{K}$ whose norms are not relatively prime to $|\tilde{F}_c|$. Then $\tilde{\phi} \cdot \pi$ is a map from G_L to $\tilde{F}_c$, which at each place of L that could possibly be wildly ramified, agrees with the tamely ramified map $\psi_v : G_{L_v} \to \tilde{F}_c$. The lemma follows. □

Next we show that the function z used in Definition 4.1 exists.

Lemma 4.3. *Let F be a finite group and c a union of conjugacy classes of F. Any conjugacy class of $\tilde{F}_c$ with image in $c \cup \{1\} \subset F$ is in bijection with its image in F.*

Proof. An element above $1 \in F$ is in the center of $\tilde{F}_c$, and so its conjugacy class is a single element and the lemma follows in this case. Let $\bar{x}$ denote the image in $\tilde{F}_c$ of an element $x \in F$. Let $d \in \tilde{F}_c$ with $\bar{d} \in c$. Suppose $g \in \tilde{F}_c$ such that $\bar{d} = \overline{gdg^{-1}} \in F$. Then $\bar{g}$ commutes with $\bar{d}$ in F, and $\bar{d} \in c$ implies g and d commute in $\tilde{F}_c$. Thus the lemma follows. □

Next we show that the order of the product in Definition 4.1 does not matter.

Lemma 4.4. *In Definition 4.1, the elements $z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v))$ in the product defining $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ all commute.*

Proof. All the $z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v))$ are either in $H_2(F, c)$, and thus central, or above c_0. All elements above c_0 commute (since they commute with all elements above elements that commute with c_0). □

Next we show that the invariant defined does not depend on the choice of γ_v.

Lemma 4.5. *In Definition 4.1, the invariant $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ does not depend on the choice of γ_v.*

Proof. Let y_v be a generator of tame inertia for v in G_L. Then γ_v has image $gy_v^\lambda g^{-1}$ in the tame quotient of G_L for some $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $g \in G_L$. Suppose we take another choice γ_v' with image $hy_v^{\lambda'}h^{-1}$ in the tame quotient of G_L for some h in G_L in Definition 4.1. In the tame, abelian, exponent $|\tilde{F}_c|$ quotient, γ_v and γ_v' have the same image (corresponding to u in the class field theory map) and thus in this quotient $y_v^\lambda \equiv y_v^{\lambda'}$. That implies $\lambda \equiv \lambda' \bmod (\operatorname{Nm} v - 1, |\tilde{F}_c|)$. If p is the prime of which $\operatorname{Nm} v$ is a power, and d is the highest power of p dividing $|\mu_L|$, then $|\mu_L|/d \mid (\operatorname{Nm} v - 1)$. Since $\tilde{\phi}$ is tame, it sends any inertia group for v to a subgroup whose order is relatively prime to p, and in particular, a subgroup whose elements are annihilated by $|\mu_L|/d$. Thus $\tilde{\phi}(y_v^\lambda) = \tilde{\phi}(y_v^{\lambda'})$. Since $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ only depends on the conjugacy class of $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v)$, the lemma follows. □

We show that the invariant defined does not depend on the choice of lift $\tilde{\phi}$.

Lemma 4.6. *In Definition 4.1, the invariant $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{\phi}$.*

Proof. Let $A = H_2(F, c) = \ker(\tilde{F}_c \to F)$. A different choice of $\tilde{\phi}$ would differ by $\chi : G_L \to A$. From Lemma 4.5, we may choose γ_v for each non-archimedian place v corresponding to u in the local class field theory map. Then for each finite place v of L, we have that $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v)\chi(\gamma_v)$ is in a conjugacy class over $c \cup \{1\}$ and the element above c_0 or 1 is $z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v))\chi(\gamma_v)$. Since the γ_v all come from u in the local class field theory maps, by class field theory, we have $\prod_v \chi(\gamma_v) = 1$. □

Now, we show that the invariant actually lands in $H_2(F, c)$.

Lemma 4.7. *In Definition 4.1, $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ is in* $\ker(\tilde{F}_c \to F)$.

Proof. Each $z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v))$ is either a lift of c_0 or in $H_2(F, c)$. It suffices to show that the number of v such that $z_v := z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v))$ is a lift of c_0 is even.

If v is even, then since ϕ has all ramification order 2 and is tame, then v is unramified in ϕ, and so z_v cannot be a lift of c_0. For the rest of the proof we consider odd places v.

First we consider v such that u is a square mod v. Then by Hensel's lemma L_v contains w such that $w^2 = u$, and let δ be an element of inertia at v that, after abelianization, goes to w in the class field theory map. Then, using Lemma 4.5, we can let $\gamma_v = \delta^2$, and we see that z_v is a square and therefore is a lift of an element of the index 2 subgroup $\ker \pi$ of F, and thus cannot be a lift of an element of c_0.

Finally, we consider v such that u is not a square mod v. If ϕ is not ramified at v then z_v is not a lift of c_0. What remains are the v such that ϕ is ramified at v and u is not a square mod v. Consider the composition $G_L \to F \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and the corresponding map $J_L \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of the idèle class group of L. Since u goes to 0 in this map, the number of places such that $u \mapsto 1$ in the corresponding local map $L_v \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ must be even. We have that u goes to 1 in the map $L_v \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ exactly if v is ramified in ϕ, and u is not a square mod v. This proves the lemma. □

Next, we show that the invariant defined does not depend on c_0.

Lemma 4.8. *In Definition 4.1, $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ does not depend on the choice of c_0.*

Proof. If we chose c_1 instead of c_0, we would replace each $z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v))$ with $gz(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v))g^{-1}$ for some g such that $gc_0g^{-1} = c_1$. Thus, $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ would be replaced by $gI_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)g^{-1}$, which equals $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$ since $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u) \in H_2(F, c)$ by Lemma 4.7 and $H_2(F, c)$ is central in $\tilde{F}_c$. □

Lemma 4.9. *With the notation of Definition 4.1, if $f \in F$, then $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u) = I_{F,\pi,K}(f\phi f^{-1}, u)$*

Proof. Let $\psi = f\phi f^{-1}$. Let $\hat{f}$ be a lift of f to $\tilde{F}_c$. Then $\tilde{\psi} = \hat{f}\tilde{\phi}\hat{f}^{-1}$ is a tamely ramified lift of ψ to $\tilde{F}_c$. Since $\hat{f}\tilde{\phi}\hat{f}^{-1}(\gamma_v)$ is in the same conjugacy class as $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_v)$, the lemma follows. □

Finally, we show that the invariant is $|\mu_K|$-torsion.

Lemma 4.10. *In Definition 4.1, $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)^{|\mu_K|} = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in G_K$. Let $\psi(x) := \phi(\sigma x \sigma^{-1})$. Since ϕ is defined over G_K, we have that $\psi(x) = \phi(\sigma)\phi(x)\phi(\sigma)^{-1}$ and thus by Lemma 4.9 $I_{F,\pi,K}(\psi, u) = I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi, u)$. However, note that $\tilde{\psi}(x) := \tilde{\phi}(\sigma x \sigma^{-1})$ gives a lift of ψ. We choose $\gamma_v \in G_L$ whose image in the abelianization correspond to u in the local class field theory map. We have $\tilde{\psi}(\gamma_v) = \tilde{\phi}(\sigma\gamma_v\sigma^{-1})$.

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_v & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & G_{L_v}^{ab} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} \\ L_{\sigma(v)} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & G_{L_{\sigma(v)}}^{ab} \end{array}$$

by the functoriality of the local class field theory map. Here the σ on the left acts as σ on elements of L (and so takes Cauchy sequences for the v-adic metric to Cauchy sequences for the $\sigma(v)$-adic metric). The top and bottom maps are the local class field theory maps. The right hand map is the map that takes f to $\sigma \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Note that $\sigma\gamma_v\sigma^{-1}$ is an element of tame inertia for $\sigma(v)$ and its image in the abelianization comes from $u^{\chi(\sigma)}$ in the local class field theory map by the commutativity of the above diagram, where χ is the cyclotomic character.

So, we could choose $\sigma\gamma_v^{(\chi(\sigma)^{-1})}\sigma^{-1}$ for $\gamma'_{\sigma(v)}$, since they have image in the abelianization coming from u in the class field theory map. So in the conjugacy class of $\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_{\sigma(v)})$ we also have $\tilde{\phi}(\sigma\gamma_v^{\chi(\sigma)^{-1}}\sigma^{-1})$ by Lemma 4.5.

Thus $z(\tilde{\psi}(\gamma_v)^{\chi(\sigma)^{-1}}) = z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_{\sigma(v)}))$. Multiply over all v, we obtain $I_{F,\pi,K}(\psi,u)^{\chi(\sigma)^{-1}} = I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi,u)$. So $I_{F,\pi,K}(\psi,u) = I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi,u)^{\chi(\sigma)}$. Thus we conclude $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi,u)^{\chi(\sigma)-1} = 1$ for all $\sigma \in G_K$. For the $\sigma \in G_K$, the great common divisor of $\chi(\sigma) - 1$ is $|\mu_K|$. (Proof: Clearly $\chi(\sigma)$ is 1 mod $|\mu_K|$ for all σ. Moreover if $\chi(\sigma)$ is 1 mod d for all $\sigma \in G_K$, then G_K fixes the dth roots of unity, and thus $\mu_d \subset K$.) The lemma follows. □

Lemma 4.11. *In Definition 4.1, $I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi,u^\lambda) = I_{F,\pi,K}(\phi,u)^\lambda$.*

Proof. If we replace u with u^λ for some $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, we see that we can replace γ_v with γ_v^λ. The lemma follows. □

4.2. **Agreement with the topological invariant in function field case.** In this section, we will see in Theorem 4.13 that Definition 4.1 essentially agrees with the lifting invariant defined by Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland [EVW12, Section 8.4], which counts components in the Hurwitz schemes used to prove Theorem 1.2.

Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland define the lifting invariant for continuous, tame homomorphisms $G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(t)} \to F$ when the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$ does not divide $|F|$. The invariant can then also be defined for $G_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)} \to F$, by restriction to $G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(t)} \subset G_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)}$ which amounts to base change to the cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ associated to the homomorphism from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. We now give the definition of this lifting invariant.

Definition 4.12 (from Section 8.4 of [EVW12]). Let F be a finite group and p a prime not dividing $|F|$. Let c be a union of conjugacy classes of F. Let $\phi : G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p(t)} \to F$ be a continuous, tame homomorphism, with inertia subgroups with images only in $c \cup \{1\}$ and let S be the union of $\{\infty\}$ and the set of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ points of the corresponding $\mathbb{P}^1$ where ϕ is ramified. Let Γ be the tame quotient of $G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p(t)}$ corresponding to extensions only ramified at S. Then Γ is free pro-prime-to-p, on generators $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k$, with each generator generating the tame inertia subgroup at a point of $S \setminus \infty$. We can choose the δ_i so that

$$\delta_1\delta_2\cdots\delta_k\delta_\infty = 1$$

in Γ, where δ_∞ is a generator of tame inertia at ∞. (This is possible by Grothendieck's comparison of étale and topological π_1 [Gro03, Corollaire 2.12, Exposé XIII].) For each conjugacy class in c, we choose a conjugacy class in $\tilde{F}_c$ above it, and for $f \in c$, let $[f]$ denote its preimage in the chosen conjugacy class (see Lemma 4.3). Then, the invariant of ϕ is

$$J_{F,c,p}(\phi,\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_k) = [\phi(\delta_1)][\phi(\delta_2)]\cdots[\phi(\delta_k)].$$

Since, at one time, Theorem 1.2 only considers ϕ with $\phi(\delta_\infty)$ some fixed value, for our purposes the following theorem shows agreement of our invariant over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with that of Ellenberg, Venkatesh, and Westerland.

Theorem 4.13 (Agreement of invariants)**.** *Let F be a finite group and q a power of a prime p not dividing $|F|$. Let $\pi : F \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be a surjective homomorphism. Let c be the set of order two elements of $F \setminus \ker\pi$, and suppose that c is a single conjugacy class of F. Let*

$\phi : G_{\mathbb{F}_q(t)} \to F$ be a continuous, tame homomorphism, with inertia subgroups with images only in $c \cup \{1\}$.

Given $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ (and δ_∞ if ∞ is ramified in ϕ) chosen in Definition 4.12 for $\phi|_{G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p(t)}}$, there exists a root of unity u in L (from Definition 4.1) such that

$$J_{F,c,p}(\phi|_{G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p(t)}}, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) = [c_0]^m I_{F,\pi,\mathbb{F}_q(t)}(\phi, u)[\phi(\delta_\infty)]^{-1},$$

where m is the number of $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ points ramified in ϕ, $[\cdot]$ is as in Definition 4.12 and $[1] = 1$, and c_0 is any element of c.

Proof. We use the notations from Definitions 4.1 and 4.12. Since Γ is free, we can lift $\phi : G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p(t)} \to F$ to a $\hat{\phi} : G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p(t)} \to \tilde{F}_c$ that is tame and ramified only at points in S. Moreover, since $\hat{\phi}$ corresponds to a finite cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$, it descends to $M = \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ for some positive integer r, and thus $\hat{\phi}$ extends to $\hat{\phi} : G_M \to \tilde{F}_c$. We enlarge r as necessary so that all the points of S are $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ points, and so that $L = \mathbb{F}_q(t)(\mu_{4|\tilde{F}_c|})$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}(t)$. Further, since Γ is free pro-prime-to-p on $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$, for each $1 \le i \le k$, we have a continuous map $G_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p(t)} \to \mathbb{Z}/4|\tilde{F}_c|\mathbb{Z}$ that sends δ_i to 1 and δ_j to 0 for $j \neq i$. Enlarge r further so that all of the corresponding maps of curves descend to $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$, i.e. so that the maps of Galois groups extend to $G_M \to \mathbb{Z}/4|\tilde{F}_c|\mathbb{Z}$.

We have

$$[\phi(\delta_1)][\phi(\delta_2)] \cdots [\phi(\delta_\infty)] = \hat{\phi}(\delta_1)\hat{\phi}(\delta_1)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_1)]\hat{\phi}(\delta_2)\hat{\phi}(\delta_2)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_2)] \cdots .$$

Since $\hat{\phi}(\delta_i)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_i)]$ has trivial image in F, it is central in $\tilde{F}_c$. Thus, we can factor the $\hat{\phi}(\delta_i)$ terms to the left and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[\phi(\delta_1)][\phi(\delta_2)] \cdots [\phi(\delta_\infty)] &= \hat{\phi}(\delta_1\delta_2 \cdots)\hat{\phi}(\delta_1)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_1)]\hat{\phi}(\delta_2)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_2)] \cdots \\ &= \hat{\phi}(\delta_1)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_1)]\hat{\phi}(\delta_2)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_2)] \cdots .\end{aligned}$$

Let $g_i[\phi(\delta_i)]g_i^{-1}$ be above $c_0 \in c$ (which, if $i = \infty$ only exists if ∞ is ramified in ϕ). Then by the property of $[\cdot]$, we have $g_i[\phi(\delta_i)]g_i^{-1} = [c_0]$. Since $\hat{\phi}(\delta_i)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_i)]$ is central,

$$\hat{\phi}(\delta_i)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_i)] = g_i\hat{\phi}(\delta_i)^{-1}g_i^{-1}g_i[\phi(\delta_i)]g_i^{-1} = z(\hat{\phi}(\delta_i))^{-1}[c_0].$$

Now if ∞ is not ramified in ϕ,

$$\hat{\phi}(\delta_\infty)^{-1}[\phi(\delta_\infty)] = z(\hat{\phi}(\delta_\infty))^{-1}.$$

Since $z(\hat{\phi}(\delta_i))^{-1}$ and $[c_0]$ all have image c_0 in F, they all commute and we have

$$[\phi(\delta_1)][\phi(\delta_2)] \cdots [\phi(\delta_\infty)] = [c_0]^m \prod_i z(\hat{\phi}(\delta_i))^{-1}, \tag{5}$$

where m is the number of ramified $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ points of ϕ.

We will see, with some work, that $\prod_i z(\hat{\phi}(\delta_i))$ is the invariant defined in Definition 4.1. It is not immediate because we are working over a field larger than L and the δ_i are picked differently than the γ_v.

Now in any tame, abelian extension of M unramified outside S, we have that $\delta_1 \cdots \delta_\infty = 1$ in the Galois group. What is the Galois group of the maximal tame, abelian, unramified

outside S extension of M? By class field theory, it is the profinite completion $\hat{\Delta}$ of

$$\Delta := \left(\prod_{w \in S} M_w^*/U_w \times \prod_{w \notin S} M_w^*/\mathcal{O}_{M_w}^* \right) / M^*,$$

where U_w are the units that are 1 mod w. The image of δ_i in $\hat{\Delta}$ is a generator for the inertia subgroup for the point corresponding to i, and thus can be represented in $\hat{\Delta}$ by $(1, \dots, 1, \alpha_i, 1 \dots)$, where the α_i is in the place corresponding to i and α_i generates the units in that component. Since the image of $\delta_1 \cdots \delta_\infty$ in $\hat{\Delta}$ is trivial, there is some $\alpha \in M$, such that for all i we have that $\alpha \equiv \alpha_i \bmod w$, where w the place corresponding to i.

Let ζ generate the roots of unity of M. For each i corresponding to a place $w \in S$, let $\zeta = \alpha_i^{e_i} \bmod w$. Then since ζ has trivial image in $\hat{\Delta}$, so does $\prod_i \delta_i^{e_i}$. However, since for each $i \neq \infty$, there is a map that sends δ_i to 1 and δ_∞ to -1 in $\mathbb{Z}/|\tilde{F}_c|\mathbb{Z}$ and the rest of the δ_j to 0 for $j \neq i$, we have $e_i = e_\infty \bmod |\tilde{F}_c|$ for all i. Thus, if ξ is a root of unity with image α_∞ mod ∞, then for each i, we have $\alpha_i \equiv \xi^{a_i}$ for some $a_i \equiv 1 \bmod |\tilde{F}_c|$.

As in Definition 4.1, we pick a $\tilde{\phi} : G_L \to \tilde{F}_c$, which restricts to G_M. Analogous to Definition 4.1, except using M in place of L, for each place w of M, we pick γ_w inertia elements at w that in the maximal tame, abelian, exponent $|\tilde{F}_c|$ quotient has image ξ in the local class field theory map for w. So we have $z(\hat{\phi}(\delta_w)) = z(\hat{\phi}(\gamma_w))$, by the same reasoning as in Lemma 4.5. Thus, using (5), we have

$$[\phi(\delta_1)][\phi(\delta_2)] \cdots [\phi(\delta_\infty)] = [c_0]^m \prod_{w \in S} z(\hat{\phi}(\gamma_w))^{-1}.$$

By the same argument as in Lemma 4.6,

$$\prod_{w \in S} z(\hat{\phi}(\gamma_w)) = \prod_{w} z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_w))$$

where the second product is over all places of M. (Recall $\hat{\phi}$ is only ramified at places in S.) Now consider a place v of L and the set T of places w of M over v. We pick a $w_0 \in T$, and $\sigma \in G_L$ be a lift of a generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(M/L)$. Let χ be the cyclotomic character, and we can choose σ such that $\chi(\sigma) = q^{-a}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{q^a}$ is the finite subfield of L. For each $w \in T$ we have some i_w such that $\sigma^{i_w}(w_0) = w$, and the set of i_w for $w \in T$ is $0, 1, \dots, |T| - 1$. Then $\sigma^{i_w} \gamma_{w_0} \sigma^{-i_w}$ is an element of inertia at w that goes to $\sigma(\xi) = \xi^{q^{-ai_w}}$ in the class field theory map. So $\sigma^{i_w} \gamma_{w_0}^{q^{ai_w}} \sigma^{-i_w}$ is an element of inertia at w that goes to ξ in the class field theory map. Then, as in Lemma 4.5, we have

$$z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_w)) = z(\tilde{\phi}(\sigma_w \gamma_{w_0}^{q^{ai_w}} \sigma_w^{-1})) = z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_{w_0}^{q^{ai_w}})),$$

since $\tilde{\phi}$ is defined on G_L. Thus,

$$\prod_{w \in T} z(\hat{\phi}(\gamma_w)) = z(\tilde{\phi}(\gamma_{w_0}^{\frac{q^{a|T|}-1}{q^a-1}})).$$

Now $\gamma_{w_0}^{\frac{q^{a|T|}-1}{q^a-1}} \in G_L$ is an inertia element for v, and by the functoriality of the local class field theory map, it has image corresponding to $\mathrm{Nm}_{M_{w_0}/L_{w_0}} \xi^{\frac{q^{a|T|}-1}{q^a-1}} = \mathrm{Nm}_{M/L} \xi$. Note in any

extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^a}(t)/\mathbb{F}_{q^b}(t)$ the norm acts on roots of unity by powering by the degree of the extension a/b. So

$$\prod_{w\in S} z(\hat{\phi}(\gamma_w)) = I_{F,\pi,\mathbb{F}_q(t)}(\phi, \xi^{[M:L]}).$$

So,

$$[\phi(\delta_1)][\phi(\delta_2)]\cdots[\phi(\delta_k)] = [c_0]^m I_{F,\pi,\mathbb{F}_q(t)}(\phi, \xi^{[M:L]})^{-1}[\phi(\delta_\infty)]^{-1},$$

which proves the theorem. □

5. The Malle-Bhargava principle motivation for Conjecture 1.1

In this section, we will apply the Malle-Bhargava principle to suggest for us, in Conjecture 1.1, when $E^{\pm}(G, G')$ should be finite versus infinite.

Malle [Mal04], based on the local possibilities for the discriminant of a G-number field, gave a conjecture for the (global) asymptotics of G-fields by discriminant. (This conjecture has known counterexamples–see below.) Bhargava [Bha10b] gave a different heuristic, also based on local possibilities for the discriminant, to predict both the count of G-fields (in which case the predicted asymptotic agrees with Malle's conjecture) and the count of G-fields with local conditions at finitely many primes. (Bhargava's principle was stated as a question, since there were known cases in which it fails.) We apply this principle to count number fields with given local conditions at infinitely many primes. While the version we apply is not discussed by Malle or Bhargava, it gives provably correct predictions in some cases that are closely related to our situation including the Cohen-Lenstra-Martinet applications to the 3-part of the class group of quadratic fields [DH71] and the 2-part of the class group of cubic fields [Bha05]. See [Woo16a, Section 10] for a detailed introduction to the Malle-Bhargava principle, which comes from heuristics presented in [Mal04, Section 4] and [Bha10b, Section 8].

For simplicity, we work here only over $Q = \mathbb{Q}$. The numerator

$$\sum_{K\in IQ_X} \#\{\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G) | \rho \text{ is type } G'\}$$

in the definition of $E^-(G, G')$ equivalently counts elements $\psi \in \mathrm{Sur}(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), G')$ such that the restrictions $\psi_v : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_v/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \to G'$ have all non-trivial inertia elements of order 2 and not in $G \times G$. The Malle-Bhargava principle models the count of such ρ by the following Dirichlet series

$$D(s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} d_n n^{-s} = \prod_v \left(\frac{1}{|G'|} \sum_{\substack{\psi_v:\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_v/\bar{\mathbb{Q}})\to G' \\ \psi_v(I_v)\cap G\times G=1}} (\mathrm{Disc}\,\psi_v)^{-s} \right),$$

where the product is over all places v of $\mathbb{Q}$, and I_v is the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_v/\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, and $\mathrm{Disc}\,\psi_v$ is the norm of the discriminant of the quadratic étale algebra associated to the composite of ψ_v and $G' \to S_2$. The idea is that the sums are over over the possible local restrictions ψ_v of the ψ we wish to count, and if we assume some sort of average local-global compatibility, we would conjecture that

$$\sum_{K\in IQ_X} \#\{\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G) | \rho \text{ is type } G'\}$$

has the same asymptotics as $\sum_{n\le X} d_n$ up to a constant factor. Since in this section we are only going to predict asymptotics up to a constant factor, we can ignore all places v with $v \mid |G'|$ or v infinite, and then from the structure of the tame local absolute Galois group we can work out that

$$\frac{1}{|G'|} \sum_{\substack{\psi_v:\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_v/\bar{\mathbb{Q}})\to G' \\ \psi_v(I_v)\cap G\times G=1}} (\mathrm{Disc}\,\psi_v)^{-s} = 1 + N_{G'} v^{-s}$$

where $N_{G'}$ is the number of G' conjugacy classes of order 2 elements in $G' \setminus (G \times G)$. It follows that for some constant $K_{G'}$,

$$\sum_{n\le X} d_n = K_{G'} X (\log X)^{N_{G'}-1} + o(X(\log X)^{N_{G'}-1}).$$

Since $\sum_{K\in IQ_X} 1 = \frac{1}{2\zeta(2)} X + o(X)$, it follows that the Malle-Bhargava principle predicts the division in Conjecture 1.1 into finite versus infinite averages. Moreover, it predicts that when G' is not good that

$$\frac{\sum_{K\in IQ_X} \#\{\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G) | \rho \text{ is type } G'\}}{\sum_{K\in IQ_X} 1}$$

is asymptotic to $(\log X)^{N_{G'}-1}$, in agreement with the lower bound we obtain in Theorem 1.2.

5.1. **True and false predictions.** The Malle-Bhargava principle over $\mathbb{Q}$, which in particular implies Malle's Conjecture [Mal04, Conjecture 1.1], makes many predictions that are known to be true, including asymptotics of S_3 cubic [DH71], S_4 quartic [Bha05], S_5 quintic [Bha10a], S_3-sextic [BW08, BF10], and elementary abelian [Wri89, Woo10] extensions with local conditions at any finite set of places. The principle gives the correct order of magnitude for the count of D_4 quartic fields [CDO02] and all abelian extensions [Mäk85, Wri89] (except for local conditions that are known to never be satisfied). Moreover, the principle used with infinitely many local conditions makes a provably correct prediction for cubic fields corresponding, via class field theory, to the 3-part of the class group of quadratic fields [DH71] and quartic fields corresponding to the 2-part of the class group of cubic fields [Bha05]. The application to the 3-part of the class group of quadratic fields is a special case of our application. More generally, the principle also makes correct predictions with infinitely many local conditions for quadratic, S_3 cubic, S_4 quartic, S_5 quintic fields [Bha14], and abelian [FLN15] fields with local conditions at infinitely many primes such that for sufficiently large primes all unramified and minimally ramified local extensions are allowed (in the abelian case excepting local conditions that are never satisfied; see also [BST13, TT13] in the cubic case).

However, the Malle-Bhargava principle has counterexamples, including Klüners' [Klü05] counterexample to Malle's conjecture for $C_\ell \wr S_2$ fields. In these examples, G extensions with a particular fixed intermediate extension have the same asymptotic growth rate as the conjecture predicts for all G extensions. Klüners' [Klü05] and Türkelli [Tür15] both suggest ways to correct Malle's conjecture, and in particular suggest that it should still hold if we do not count extensions with nontrivial subfields that are subfields of certain cyclotomic fields. First, we note that the only admissible subgroup of $C_\ell \wr S_2$ is $D_\ell \subset C_\ell \wr S_2$ (with $C_\ell \to C_\ell \times C_\ell$ as $a \mapsto (a, -a)$), so have not above applied the Malle-Bhargava principle in

the cases of known counter-examples. The following lemma will help us see more generally that the source of these counter-examples is not an issue in our case.

Lemma 5.1. *If G' is a good subgroup of $G \wr S_2$, then the abelianization of G' is S_2.*

Proof. Let N be the set of $g \in G' \cap G \times G$ such that $\sigma(g) = g^{-1}$. Then $\{(g, \sigma) | g \in N\}$ are all order 2 elements of G' not in $\ker(G' \to S_2)$ and so are all conjugate by the definition of good. Thus they have the same image in $(G')^{ab}$, and in particular all elements of N have trivial image in $(G')^{ab}$. By the definition of admissible, N generates G, so the images of N and σ generate $(G')^{ab}$ and the lemma follows. □

Thus in G'-extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ for good G', the only intermediate cyclotomic field is the quadratic field K corresponding to $\ker(G' \to S_2)$. Since the G'-extensions we count are all unramified over the quadratic field K above, a single K only contributes finitely many extensions to the count, and there are no counter-examples of the type found in [Klü05], and the suggested corrections to Malle's conjecture would not change it in this case. When G' is not good, any of the suggested corrections to Malle's conjecture would only increase the number of extensions and not affect our Conjecture 1.1.

Moreover, the Malle-Bhargava principle sometimes makes incorrect predictions for the counts of fields with given local conditions. When G is abelian, there are certain local conditions that are never satisfied (like Wang's counterexample to Grunwald's "theorem," see [Wri89, Woo10]). Also when G is abelian, sometimes local conditions can be satisfied but the total count is not as the principle predicts (see [Woo10, Propositions 1.4 and 1.5]). However, for abelian G extensions with finitely many local conditions (or infinitely many local conditions such that for sufficiently large primes all unramified and minimally ramified local extensions are allowed) as long as there are any such extensions at all, the count is still the correct order of magnitude [Wri89, FLN15], which is all we use here.

6. Example: $G = C_2^k$ and $G' = C_2^{k+1}$

In this section, we prove that $E^{\pm}(G, G') = \infty$ when $G = C_2^k$ and $G' = C_2^{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$. This G' is realized in $G \wr C_2 = (G \times G) \rtimes C_2$ as elements of the form (a, a, b). Finding $E^{\pm}(G, G')$ amounts to counting C_2^{k+1} extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ whose inertia has trivial intersection with $C_2^k \times 1 \subset C_2^{k+1}$. The strategy for counting abelian extensions goes back to Cohn [Coh54], and has been more recently developed in [Wri89, Woo10, FLN15].

By class field theory, C_2^{k+1} extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ correspond to continuous homomorphisms from the idèle class group $J_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to C_2^{k+1}. It is an easy exercise to see that the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(J_{\mathbb{Q}}, C_2^{k+1}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\prod_p{}' \mathbb{Z}_p^*, C_2^{k+1})$$

$$\phi \mapsto \prod_p \phi|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*}$$

(where the restricted product is over finite primes p of $\mathbb{Q}$) is a bijection. Note that ϕ corresponds to an extension counted by the numerator of $E(G, G')$ if and only if at all finite primes p we have $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1$ and also $\phi(\mathbb{R}_{<0}^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1$. This condition at a finite prime p happens exactly when $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) = 1$ or $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ is order 2 and generated by an element of C_2^{k+1} that is non-trivial in the last factor. The condition at the infinite place

happens if and only if $\prod_p \phi|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*}(-1) = 1$ (in which case the extension is totally real) or if $\prod_p \phi|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*}(-1)$ is non-trivial in the last factor of C_2 (in which case the extension has a complex quadratic subfield corresponding to the quotient to the last factor of C_2).

Let a_n be the number of surjections from $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ to C_2^{k+1} such that at all finite primes p we have $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1$ and $\prod_p \phi|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*}(-1) = 1$, such that the associated quadratic field (from the composition of the surjection with projection onto the last factor of C_2^{k+1}) has absolute discriminant n. Then by Proposition 3.3 and the above,

$$\sum_{K \in RQ_{=n}} \#\{(\rho, y) | \rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G), y \text{ twist for } \rho, (\rho, y) \text{ is type } G'\} = a_n.$$

For a $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, C_2^{k+1})$, let $\bar{\phi}$ be the composition of ϕ with the projection onto the last factor of C_2. From the above we see that

$$\prod_p \left(\sum_{\substack{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, C_2^{k+1}) \\ \phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1}} \mathrm{Disc}(\bar{\phi})^{-s} \right)$$

has coefficients counting homomorphisms from $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ to C_2^{k+1} such that at all finite primes p we have $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1$. We consider

$$F_k(s) = 2^{-(k+1)} \sum_{\chi : C_2^{k+1} \to \pm 1} \prod_p \left(\sum_{\substack{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, C_2^{k+1}) \\ \phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1}} \chi(\phi(-1)) \, \mathrm{Disc}(\bar{\phi})^{-s} \right),$$

whose coefficients count homomorphisms from $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ to C_2^{k+1} such that at all finite primes p we have $\phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1$ and $\prod_p \phi|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*}(-1) = 1$ At all odd primes p, if $\chi = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, C_2^{k+1}) \\ \phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1}} \chi(\phi(-1)) \, \mathrm{Disc}(\bar{\phi})^{-s} = 1 + 2^k p^{-s}.$$

At all odd primes p such that -1 is a square mod p, for all χ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, C_2^{k+1}) \\ \phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1}} \chi(\phi(-1)) \, \mathrm{Disc}(\bar{\phi})^{-s} = 1 + 2^k p^{-s}.$$

At all odd primes p such that -1 is not square mod p, if $\ker \chi = C_2^k \times 1$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, C_2^{k+1}) \\ \phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1}} \chi(\phi(-1)) \, \mathrm{Disc}(\bar{\phi})^{-s} = 1 - 2^k p^{-s}.$$

and for any other $\chi \neq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, C_2^{k+1}) \\ \phi(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) \cap (C_2^k \times 1) = 1}} \chi(\phi(-1)) \operatorname{Disc}(\bar{\phi})^{-s} = 1 + 2^{k-1}p^{-s} - 2^{k-1}p^{-s} = 1.$$

From this analysis, standard methods (see e.g. [Coh54, Woo10]) show that $F_k(s)$ is analytic for $\Re(s) > 1$ and has a pole of order 2^k at $s = 1$. Then from standard Tauberian theorems(e.g. [Nar83, Corollary, p. 121]), we see that the coefficients of $F_k(s)$ have partial sums asymptotic to $c_k X(\log X)^{2^k-1}$. Note that except for the trivial homomorphism, the homomorphisms counted by the coefficients of $F_k(s)$ have non-trivial image in the last factor of C_2, because all allowed inertia has such non-trivial image. However, some of the homomorphisms counted by $F_k(s)$ will have image that is not all of C_2^k. An upper bound for the number of these, however, can be given in terms of a constant (in terms of k) and the asymptotics of the coefficients of $F_{k-1}(s)$. It thus follows, using Proposition 3.3 that for some positive constant c_k' we have

$$\sum_{K \in RQ_X} \#\{(\rho, y) | \rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G), y \text{ twist for } \rho, (\rho, y) \text{ is type } G'\} = c_k' X(\log X)^{2^k-1} + o(X(\log X)^{2^k-1})$$

and so $\tilde{E}^+(G, G') = \infty$. Similarly, we can show that

$$\sum_{K \in IQ_X} \#\{\rho \in \mathrm{Sur}(G_K^{\mathrm{un},\infty}, G) | \rho \text{ is type } G'\} = c_k'' X(\log X)^{2^k-1} + o(X(\log X)^{2^k-1})$$

and so $\tilde{E}^-(G, G') = \infty$.

7. Charts of values

In this section, we give two charts of the values of invariants important in this paper for small groups. The computations for this chart were done in GAP [GAP16]. For groups that we give as semi-direct products, we also give their identifier in the Small Groups Library [BEO16] in square brackets. We write D_n for the dihedral group of order n, and C_n for the cyclic group of order n, and Q_n for the quaternion group of order n, and A_d for the alternating group of d elements.

7.1. **Types.** The below is a chart of all groups G from order 2 to 15 and all admissible $G' \subset G \wr S_2$. For a given G, we list each abstract isomorphism class of G' only once. Even though in principle G' could sit as a subgroup of $G \wr S_2$ in two different ways (not in the same $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ class) such that $|c/G'|$ had different values, that doesn't occur for groups of these orders. The types G' that are good are those for which the number $|c/G'|$ of conjugacy classes in c is 1. See [Alb16, Sections 2 and 3] for discussion of which G have an admissible G' with $|G'| = 2|G|$.

G	G'	$\lvert c/G'\rvert$
C_2	C_2^2	2
C_3	S_3	1
C_4	D_8	2
C_2^2	C_2^3	4
C_5	D_{10}	1
S_3	D_{12}	2
S_3	$S_3 \times S_3$	2
C_6	D_{12}	2
C_7	D_{14}	1
C_8	D_{16}	2
$C_4 \times C_2$	$C_2 \times D_8$	4
D_8	$C_2 \times D_8$	4
D_8	$(C_2 \times D_8) \rtimes C_2$ [49]	4
Q_8	$(C_4 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2$ [13]	3
Q_8	$(C_2 \times Q_8) \rtimes C_2$ [50]	4
C_2^3	C_2^4	8
C_9	D_{18}	1
C_3^2	$(C_3^2) \rtimes C_2$ [4]	1
D_{10}	D_{20}	2
D_{10}	D_{10}^2	2
C_{10}	D_{20}	2
C_{11}	D_{22}	1
$C_3 \rtimes C_4$ [1]	$(C_6 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2$ [8]	2
$C_3 \rtimes C_4$ [1]	$(C_6 \times S_3) \rtimes C_2$ [22]	2
C_{12}	D_{24}	2
A_4	S_4	1
A_4	$((C_2^4) \rtimes C_3) \rtimes C_2$ [227]	1
D_{12}	$C_2^2 \times S_3$	4
D_{12}	$C_2 \times S_3^2$	4
$C_6 \times C_2$	$C_2^2 \times S_3$	4
C_{13}	D_{26}	1
D_{14}	D_{28}	2
D_{14}	D_{14}^2	2
C_{14}	D_{28}	2
C_{15}	D_{30}	1

7.2. $H_2(G', c)$**.** The below is a chart of all groups G from order 2 to 31 and all admissible $G' \subset G \wr S_2$ such that $|c/G'| = 1$. For these pairs, we give $H_2(G', c)$ and the size of the center $|Z(G')|$. For a given G, we list each abstract isomorphism class of G' only once. Even though in principle G' could sit as a subgroup of $G \wr S_2$ in two different ways (not in the same $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ class) such that $H_2(G', c)$ or $|Z(G')|$ had different values, that doesn't occur for groups of these orders with $|c/G'| = 1$.

G	G'	$H_2(G', c)$	$\lvert Z(G')\rvert$
C_3	S_3	1	1
C_5	D_{10}	1	1
C_7	D_{14}	1	1
C_9	D_{18}	1	1
C_3^2	$(C_3^2) \rtimes C_2$ [4]	C_3	1
C_{11}	D_{22}	1	1
A_4	S_4	1	1
A_4	$((C_2^4) \rtimes C_3) \rtimes C_2$ [227]	C_2	1
C_{13}	D_{26}	1	1
C_{15}	D_{30}	1	1
C_{17}	D_{34}	1	1
C_{19}	D_{38}	1	1
$C_7 \rtimes C_3$ [1]	$((C_7^2) \rtimes C_3) \rtimes C_2$ [7]	1	1
C_{21}	D_{42}	1	1
C_{23}	D_{46}	1	1
$\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$	$\mathrm{GL}(2,3)$	1	2
$\mathrm{SL}(2,3)$	$((Q_8^2) \rtimes C_3) \rtimes C_2$ [18130]	1	2
C_{25}	D_{50}	1	1
C_5^2	$(C_5^2) \rtimes C_2$ [4]	C_5	1
C_{27}	D_{54}	1	1
$C_9 \times C_3$	$(C_9 \times C_3) \rtimes C_2$ [7]	C_3	1
$(C_3^2) \rtimes C_3$ [3]	$((C_3^2) \rtimes C_3) \rtimes C_2$ [8]	1	3
$(C_3^2) \rtimes C_3$ [3]	$(C_3 \times ((C_3^2) \rtimes C_3)) \rtimes C_2$ [46]	C_3^2	3
$C_9 \rtimes C_3$ [4]	$((C_9 \times C_3) \rtimes C_3) \rtimes C_2$ [17]	1	3
C_3^3	$(C_3^3) \rtimes C_2$ [14]	C_3^3	1
C_{29}	D_{58}	1	1
C_{31}	D_{62}	1	1

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Brandon Alberts, Manjul Bhargava, Nigel Boston, Jordan Ellenberg, and Gunter Malle for helpful conversations and comments on the manuscript. Thank you to the American Institute of Mathematics for hosting a workshop on the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics in 2011, at which the question was presented that inspired this research. This work was done with the support of an American Institute of Mathematics Five-Year Fellowship, a Packard Fellowship for Science and Engineering, a Sloan Research Fellowship, and National Science Foundation grant DMS-1301690.

References

[Ach06] Jeffrey D. Achter. The Distribution of Class Groups of Function Fields. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 204(2):316–333, February 2006.

[AGV73] Michael Artin, Alexander Grothendieck, and Jean-Louis Verdier. *Théorie Des Topos et Cohomologie Étale Des Schémas. Tome 3*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat.

[Alb16] Brandon Alberts. Cohen-Lenstra Moments for Some Nonabelian Groups. *arXiv:1606.07867 [math]*, June 2016.

[AM15] Michael Adam and Gunter Malle. A Class Group Heuristic Based on the Distribution of 1-Eigenspaces in Matrix Groups. *Journal of Number Theory*, 149:225–235, April 2015.

[AT68] E. Artin and J. Tate. *Class Field Theory*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968.

[BEO16] Hans Ulrich Besche, Bettina Eick, and Eamonn O'Brien. *The SmallGroups Library – a GAP package*. The GAP Group, 2016. (`http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/sgl.html`).

[BF10] Karim Belabas and Étienne Fouvry. Discriminants Cubiques et Progressions Arithmétiques. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 6(7):1491–1529, 2010.

[Bha05] Manjul Bhargava. The Density of Discriminants of Quartic Rings and Fields. *Annals of Mathematics*, 162(2):1031–1063, September 2005.

[Bha10a] Manjul Bhargava. The Density of Discriminants of Quintic Rings and Fields. *Annals of Mathematics*, 172(3):1559–1591, October 2010.

[Bha10b] Manjul Bhargava. Mass Formulae for Extensions of Local Fields, and Conjectures on the Density of Number Field Discriminants. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, July 2010.

[Bha14] Manjul Bhargava. The Geometric Sieve and the Density of Squarefree Values of Invariant Polynomials. *arXiv:1402.0031 [math]*, January 2014.

[BST13] Manjul Bhargava, Arul Shankar, and Jacob Tsimerman. On the Davenport–Heilbronn Theorems and Second Order Terms. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 193(2):439–499, August 2013.

[BW08] Manjul Bhargava and Melanie Matchett Wood. The Density of Discriminants of S_3-Sextic Number Fields. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 136(5):1581–1587, 2008.

[BW16] Nigel Boston and Melanie Matchett Wood. Nonabelian Cohen-Lenstra Heuristics over Function Fields. *arXiv:1604.03433 [math]*, April 2016.

[CDO02] Henri Cohen, Francisco Diaz y Diaz, and Michel Olivier. Enumerating Quartic Dihedral Extensions of $\Bbb Q$. *Compositio Mathematica*, 133(1):65–93, 2002.

[CKL+15] Julien Clancy, Nathan Kaplan, Timothy Leake, Sam Payne, and Melanie Matchett Wood. On a Cohen–Lenstra Heuristic for Jacobians of Random Graphs. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, pages 1–23, May 2015.

[CL84] Henri Cohen and Hendrik W. Lenstra, Jr. Heuristics on Class Groups of Number Fields. In *Number Theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983 (Noordwijkerhout, 1983)*, volume 1068 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 33–62. Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[Coh54] Harvey Cohn. The Density of Abelian Cubic Fields. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 5:476–477, 1954.

[DH71] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn. On the Density of Discriminants of Cubic Fields. II. *Proceedings of the Royal Society. London. Series A. Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 322(1551):405–420, 1971.

[DW88] Boris Datskovsky and David J. Wright. Density of Discriminants of Cubic Extensions. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 386:116–138, 1988.

[EVW12] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Akshay Venkatesh, and Craig Westerland. Homological Stability for Hurwitz Spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra Conjecture over Function Fields, II. *arXiv:1212.0923 [math]*, December 2012.

[EVW16] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Akshay Venkatesh, and Craig Westerland. Homological Stability for Hurwitz Spaces and the Cohen-Lenstra Conjecture over Function Fields. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 183(3):729–786, 2016.

[FK06] Étienne Fouvry and Jürgen Klüners. Cohen–Lenstra Heuristics of Quadratic Number Fields. In Florian Hess, Sebastian Pauli, and Michael Pohst, editors, *Algorithmic Number Theory*, number 4076 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 40–55. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, January 2006.

[FLN15] Christopher Frei, Daniel Loughran, and Rachel Newton. The Hasse Norm Principle for Abelian Extensions. *arXiv:1508.02518 [math]*, August 2015.

[GAP16] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.4*, 2016. (`http://www.gap-system.org`).

[Gar15] Derek Garton. Random Matrices, the Cohen–Lenstra Heuristics, and Roots of Unity. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 9(1):149–171, February 2015.

[Gro03] Alexander Grothendieck. *Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental (SGA 1)*. Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], 3. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. [Algebraic Geometry Seminar of Bois Marie 1960-61], Directed by A. Grothendieck, With two papers by M. Raynaud, Updated and annotated reprint of the 1971 original [Lecture Notes in Math., 224, Springer, Berlin; MR0354651 (50 #7129)].

[Klü05] Jürgen Klüners. A Counterexample to Malle's Conjecture on the Asymptotics of Discriminants. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique. Académie des Sciences. Paris*, 340(6):411–414, 2005.

[Mäk85] Sirpa Mäki. On the Density of Abelian Number Fields. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. Series A I. Mathematica Dissertationes*, (54):104, 1985.

[Mal04] Gunter Malle. On the Distribution of Galois Groups. II. *Experimental Mathematics*, 13(2):129–135, 2004.

[Mal08] Gunter Malle. Cohen–Lenstra Heuristic and Roots of Unity. *Journal of Number Theory*, 128(10):2823–2835, October 2008.

[Mil06] J. S. Milne. *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*. BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, second edition, 2006.

[Nar83] W. Narkiewicz. *Number Theory*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1983. Translated from the Polish by S. Kanemitsu.

[RW06] Matthieu Romagny and Stefan Wewers. Hurwitz Spaces. In *Groupes de Galois Arithmétiques et Différentiels*, volume 13 of *Sémin. Congr.*, pages 313–341. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2006.

[Ser02] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois Cohomology*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, english edition, 2002. Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author.

[TT13] Takashi Taniguchi and Frank Thorne. Secondary Terms in Counting Functions for Cubic Fields. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 162(13):2451–2508, October 2013.

[Tür15] Seyfi Türkelli. Connected Components of Hurwitz Schemes and Malle's Conjecture. *Journal of Number Theory*, 155:163–201, October 2015.

[VE10] Akshay Venkatesh and Jordan S. Ellenberg. Statistics of Number Fields and Function Fields. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume II*, pages 383–402. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010.

[Woo10] Melanie Matchett Wood. On the Probabilities of Local Behaviors in Abelian Field Extensions. *Compositio Mathematica*, 146(1):102–128, 2010.

[Woo14] Melanie Matchett Wood. The Distribution of Sandpile Groups of Random Graphs. *to appear in the Journal of the American Mathematical Society, arXiv:1402.5149 [math]*, February 2014.

[Woo16a] Melanie Matchett Wood. Asymptotics for Number Fields and Class Groups. In *Directions in Number Theory: Proceedings of the 2014 WIN3 Workshop*. Springer, New York, NY, 1st ed. 2016 edition edition, July 2016.

[Woo16b] Melanie Matchett Wood. Cohen-Lenstra heuristics and local conditions. 2016. preprint June 24, 2016, available at http://www.math.wisc.edu/ mmwood/Publications/.

[Wri89] David J. Wright. Distribution of Discriminants of Abelian Extensions. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. Third Series*, 58(1):17–50, 1989.

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53705 USA, and American Institute of Mathematics, 600 East Brokaw Road, San Jose, CA 95112 USA

E-mail address: mmwood@math.wisc.edu